

Solar Physics course lecture 3 May 4, 2010

Frans Snik BBL 415 (710) f.snik@astro.uu.nl www.astro.uu.nl/~snik

# info from photons

- spatial (x,y)
- temporal (t)
- spectral ( $\lambda$ )
- polarization  $(\vec{S})$

# → usually photon starved at the diffraction limit!



### info from photons

- filter imaging
- spectroscopy
- (spectro-)polarimetry

X-ray, UV, visible, IR, radio? resolution? time coverage? disk coverage?



# imaging optics

Terminology

- reimaging image and pupil planes
- diffraction limited optics:
   no aberrations > Airy disk across field
- F/#=N=f<sub>eff</sub>/D : "beam speed"
- telecentric: pupil in infinity
  - $\rightarrow$  same transmission across the field

 $\rightarrow$  field independent of defocus

#### detectors

- (photographic)
- CCD/CMOS
- IR array

pixel size (~10x10 μ) Nyquist sampling

- read noise
  dark current
- read-out spead









#### spectrographs (3.3)

- (prism)
- Czerny-Turner (Ebert-Fastie)
- Littrow
- (Fourier Transform Spectrometer)

instruments grating (3.3.1)

$$m\lambda = a(\sin\alpha + \sin\beta)$$

$$\frac{d\beta}{d\lambda} = \frac{m}{a\cos\beta}$$



→high resolution at high order
→most energy into blaze angle
→multiple-order spectrum using cross-disperser prism



(3.27)

(3.28)

# instruments Czerny-Turner

- (curved) slit
- coma cancelled by symmetric design
- astigmatism present





#### Littrow





more compact design



#### polarization optics





Hewitt, Conceptual Physics, Ninth Edition. Copyright © 2002 Pearson Education, Inc., publishing as Addison Wesley. All rights reserved.





polarizers

• stretched polymer (dichroism)



# instruments polarization optics



#### polarizers

• Brewster angle







#### polarizers

birefringent crystal
 n<sub>o</sub> & n<sub>e</sub>









#### .

#### retarders

#### →introduction of phase difference



# instruments polarization optics



#### retarders



FIGURE 8. Traditional arrangements for quarter-wave (left) and half-wave (right) Fresnel rhombs.





#### filters

- interference filter
- Fabry-Pérot interferometer
- Lyot filter
- (Michelson interferometer)

### instruments interference filter



# instruments Fabry-Pérot interferometer (3.4.4)



$$A = T\left(1 + \sum_{n} R^{n} e^{ni\delta}\right) = \frac{T}{1 - \operatorname{Re}^{i\delta}}$$

(3.49)

instruments  
Fabry-Pérot interferometer  
$$T^2$$
 (0.54)

$$I = I_{\max} \frac{T^2}{(1-R)^2 + 4R\sin^2(\delta/2)}$$
 (3.51)

$$FSR = \lambda^2 / 2nd \cos\theta = \text{free spectral range}$$
  
$$\delta\lambda = FSR/F \qquad (\text{peak separation})$$

$$F = \frac{\pi\sqrt{R}}{1-R} = \text{finesse}$$
(3.52-53)
$$R \rightarrow 1$$





# Fabry-Pérot interferometer

- collimated beam
  - spectral purity
  - spectral dependency on angle
  - image degradation in pupil plane
- telecentric beam
  - pupil apodization
  - defocus
  - field independence
  - high image quality

# instruments Lyot filter (3.4.1-2)



birefringent stages sandwiched between polarizers

- $I = A^{2} \cos^{2}(\delta/2)$ (3.37)
- FSR from thinnest stage
- bandpass from thickest stage



#### Lyot filter

- rotating waveplates introduce addional phase shift
  - $\rightarrow$  wavelength tuning
- Evans split
  - $\rightarrow$  wide field

→ extra stages with same number of polarizers







#### operational and full description of polarization

[]] <b> = ‡ + ↔</b>	<b>‡</b> :(I+Q)/2
$  Q = \uparrow - \leftrightarrow = \checkmark + \checkmark $	↔:(I-Q) /2
$S = \begin{bmatrix} z \\ U \end{bmatrix} \qquad \qquad$	🔨 :(I+U) /2
V = ( (-))	✓ :(I-U) /2
	(I+V) /2
→differential photometry	():(I-V)/2
Q/I, U/I, V/I = polarization degree	

beware of sign conventions!

# instruments Mueller matrices



$$\vec{S}_{out} = M_n \cdot M_{n-1} \cdot \ldots \cdot M_2 \cdot M_1 \cdot \vec{S}_{in}$$

#### instruments Mueller matrices



- Any non-normal reflection/refraction creates or modifies polarization.
- 45° Al mirror:

$$M_{mir} = \begin{pmatrix} 1.000 & 0.028 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.028 & 1.000 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -0.983 & -0.180 \\ 0 & 0 & 0.180 & -0.983 \end{pmatrix}$$





#### Mueller matrices

 Stresses in glass elements produce birefringence. 422.7 nm 516.5 nm



courtesy: Alex Feller





=measurement of Stokes vector.

I,V: magnetogram I,Q,U,V: vector magnetogram →other lectures



need multiple measurements to determine (components of) the Stokes vector

- temporal modulation
- $\rightarrow$  susceptible to seeing
- spatial modulation
- $\rightarrow$  2 different detectors (parts)



rotating waveplate + 'selection' polarizer



→linear combinations of I with Q, U and V used in Hinode-SOT



Liquid Crystal Variable Retarders (LCVRs)



ferroelectric Liquid Crystals (fLCs)



~20 ms

~100 µs



• 2 LCVRs + polarizer























also complicated 4-fold modulation scheme

# instruments modulation & demodulation

- temporal modulation faster than seeing
- → demodulating camera

#### ZIMPOL 10<sup>-5</sup> polarimetric sensitivity







#### spatial modulation with synchronous detectors







 Dual beam: best of both worlds: spatial & temporal modulation: rotating wave plate + polarizing beam-splitter.

$$\begin{array}{c|c} & \mathbf{L} & \mathbf{R} \\ \hline t = 1 & I + V & I - V \\ t = 2 & I - V & I + V \end{array}$$

$$\frac{1}{4} \left( \frac{S_1^L}{S_2^L} \frac{S_2^R}{S_1^R} - 1 \right) \approx \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{V_1}{I_1} + \frac{V_2}{I_2} \right)$$

Seeing effects and gain table effects drop out to first order!





courtesy: M. Rodenhuis



### instrumental polarization

- every reflection polarizes...
- every piece of glass is birefringent...

...to some degree

- careful design
  - rotationally symmetric
  - $-90^{\circ}$  compensations
- calibration!



### limitations to polarimetry

- photon noise
- read noise
- seeing
- guiding errors
- scattered light
- instrumental polarization
- cross-talk
- fringing
- chromatism
- temperature dependence
- etc.



#### exercises

- 3.11
- 3.12
- 3.16
- Show that a wave-plate with its axes at 0 and 90 degrees does not do anything to incoming Stokes Q (defined ± as linear polarization at and 90 degrees). Why is this?
- At what time of the day does the telescope of Fig. 3.15 have minimal instrumental polarization? Show with a calculation.