



First Experimental Confirmation of the $\text{CH}_3\text{O} + \text{H}_2\text{CO} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{HCO}$ Reaction: Expanding the CH_3OH Formation Mechanism in Interstellar Ices

Julia C. Santos¹ , Ko-Ju Chuang¹ , Thanja Lamberts^{1,2} , Gleb Fedoseev³ , Sergio Ioppolo⁴ , and Harold Linnartz¹ ¹Laboratory for Astrophysics, Leiden Observatory, Leiden University, PO Box 9513, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands; santos@strw.leidenuniv.nl²Leiden Institute of Chemistry, Gorlaeus Laboratories, Leiden University, PO Box 9502, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands³Research Laboratory for Astrochemistry, Ural Federal University, Kuibysheva St. 48, 620026 Ekaterinburg, Russia⁴School of Electronic Engineering and Computer Science, Queen Mary University of London, Mile End Road, London E1 4NS, UK

Received 2022 January 17; revised 2022 May 13; accepted 2022 May 19; published 2022 June 6

Abstract

The successive addition of H atoms to CO in the solid phase has been hitherto regarded as the primary route to form methanol in dark molecular clouds. However, recent Monte Carlo simulations of interstellar ices alternatively suggested the radical-molecule H-atom abstraction reaction $\text{CH}_3\text{O} + \text{H}_2\text{CO} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{HCO}$, in addition to $\text{CH}_3\text{O} + \text{H} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH}$, as a very promising and possibly dominating (70%–90%) final step to form CH_3OH in those environments. Here, we compare the contributions of these two steps leading to methanol by experimentally investigating hydrogenation reactions on H_2CO and D_2CO ices, which ensures comparable starting points between the two scenarios. The experiments are performed under ultrahigh vacuum conditions and astronomically relevant temperatures, with H: H_2CO (or D_2CO) flux ratios of 10:1 and 30:1. The radical-molecule route in the partially deuterated scenario, $\text{CHD}_2\text{O} + \text{D}_2\text{CO} \rightarrow \text{CHD}_2\text{OD} + \text{DCO}$, is significantly hampered by the isotope effect in the D-abstraction process, and can thus be used as an artifice to probe the efficiency of this step. We observe a significantly smaller yield of $\text{D}_2\text{CO} + \text{H}$ products in comparison to $\text{H}_2\text{CO} + \text{H}$, implying that the CH_3O -induced abstraction route must play an important role in the formation of methanol in interstellar ices. Reflection-absorption infrared spectroscopy and temperature-programmed desorption-quadrupole mass spectrometry analyses are used to quantify the species in the ice. Both analytical techniques indicate constant contributions of ~80% for the abstraction route in the 10–16 K interval, which agrees well with the Monte Carlo calculations. Additional $\text{H}_2\text{CO} + \text{D}$ experiments confirm these conclusions.

Unified Astronomy Thesaurus concepts: [Astrochemistry \(75\)](#); [Laboratory astrophysics \(2004\)](#); [Dark interstellar clouds \(352\)](#)

1. Introduction

Methanol (CH_3OH) is abundantly detected in interstellar environments and is one of the main components of interstellar ices. Its formation is tightly connected to the evolution of molecular clouds. In these dense and cold regions, CO molecules present in the gas-phase freeze-out and form an apolar coating on top of icy grains, largely comprising of H_2O and CO_2 ice. Methanol is then efficiently formed through the hydrogenation of CO in the solid phase (Tielens & Hagen 1982; Charnley et al. 1992; Hiraoka et al. 1994; Watanabe & Kouchi 2002; Fuchs et al. 2009). The presence of methanol in CO-rich ice is supported by a series of comparisons between laboratory and observational evidence (e.g., Bottinelli et al. 2010; Cuppen et al. 2011; Penteado et al. 2015). Furthermore, as opposed to CO hydrogenation, neither gas-phase nor alternative solid-phase routes can explain the observed CH_3OH abundances (Geppert et al. 2005; Garrod et al. 2006; Watanabe et al. 2007). As a secondary mechanism, it has also been shown to form in H_2O -rich ices prior to the heavy CO freeze-out—thus in an earlier evolutionary stage of the cloud (Bergner et al. 2017; Qasim et al. 2018; Molpeceres et al. 2021; Potapov et al. 2021).

So far, the main proposed hydrogenation route to form methanol is through successive addition reactions of H atoms

to CO ice, as follows:



Here, abstraction reactions induced by H atoms also take place, converting CH_3OH into CO through H_2CO (Hidaka et al. 2009; Chuang et al. 2016). However, recent theoretical works that combine a full reaction network for the hydrogenation of CO and microscopic kinetic Monte Carlo simulations of interstellar ices suggest a dominating alternative to Reaction (4), which is the radical-molecule route (Álvarez-Barcia et al. 2018; Simons et al. 2020):



with a contribution of 70%–90% with respect to the remainder of the routes to form methanol. Reaction (5) can take place upon only one hydrogen-atom addition to H_2CO , as long as another H_2CO molecule lies in the vicinity of the formed CH_3O . Comparatively, Reaction (4) warrants that an additional H atom diffuses through the ice and reacts with CH_3O . The overall contribution from each route will therefore be dictated by the availability of H, CH_3O , and H_2CO in the ice. Although the theoretical results represent a significant paradigm change in the final step of the CO hydrogenation formation pathway of methanol in interstellar ices, they have

not yet been systematically investigated in the laboratory. Experimental verification is however possible by exploring the kinetic isotope effect of the reactions involving deuterated species (i.e., D_2CO and D), as will be discussed below.

At the typical low temperatures of molecular clouds, quantum-mechanical tunneling governs the activation of chemical reactions. Under these conditions, D-abstraction reactions are significantly hampered in comparison to their H-abstraction counterparts (e.g., Nagaoka et al. 2005; Hidaka et al. 2007; Goumans 2011), on account of the so-called “kinetic isotope effect.” Reaction (5) is a radical-molecule route that involves a H-abstraction reaction from H_2CO with an activation barrier of $\Delta E \sim 2670$ K (Álvarez-Barcia et al. 2018). Thus, it most likely proceeds through quantum tunneling at such low temperatures. As a consequence, the rate constant of the analog reaction with the deuterated formaldehyde (i.e., D-atom abstraction from D_2CO) is expected to be severely hindered. In the present work, we take advantage of this isotope effect to quantify the respective contributions from mechanisms (4) and (5) in forming methanol under molecular-cloud conditions. We then confirm the dominance of the radical-molecule route through complementary $H_2CO + D$ experiments.

2. Experimental

All experiments are performed using the setup SURFRESIDE³, which has been described in detail elsewhere (Ioppolo et al. 2013; Qasim et al. 2020). It consists of an ultrahigh vacuum chamber with a base pressure of $\sim 5 \times 10^{-10}$ mbar. At its center, a gold-plated copper substrate is mounted on the tip of a closed-cycle He cryostat that allows the temperature of the substrate to vary between 8 and 450 K through resistive heating. The temperature is monitored by two silicon diode sensors with a relative accuracy of 0.5 K. We deposit either H_2CO or D_2CO simultaneously with thermalized H and D atoms during the so-called codeposition experiments. The H_2CO and D_2CO vapors are produced from paraformaldehyde (purity 95%, Sigma-Aldrich) and paraformaldehyde- d_2 (purity 98%, Sigma-Aldrich) powders heated to $\sim 60^\circ C - 100^\circ C$ in glass vacuum tubes. The hydrogen and deuterium atoms are simultaneously generated by both a hydrogen atom beam source (Tschersich 2000) and a microwave atom source (Anton et al. 2000), and are thermally cooled to room temperature by colliding with the walls of nose-shaped quartz pipes that are placed along the beam path in both atom sources. A set of experiments comprising of 360 minutes of $H_2CO + H$ or $D_2CO + H$ codepositions is performed at temperatures of 10, 12, 14, and 16 K. Additionally, analogous codeposition experiments of $H_2CO + D$ are also reported. The full complementary set of $H_2CO + D$ and $D_2CO + D$ codepositions are not performed due to the low efficiency of the D-atom addition reactions resulting from the kinetic isotope effect caused by the slower rate of quantum tunneling of D atoms over H atoms (Hidaka et al. 2009; Goumans 2011).

During the experiments, the ices are monitored in situ by means of Fourier-transform reflection-absorption infrared spectroscopy (RAIRS) in the range of $700 - 4000$ cm^{-1} , with a resolution of 1 cm^{-1} . After the codeposition experiments, the sample is heated at a ramping rate of 5 K $minutes^{-1}$. The desorbed species are monitored by a quadrupole mass spectrometer during temperature-programmed desorption experiments (TPD-QMS). To derive the column densities of

the species in the ice, we employ the modified Beer–Lambert law to convert absorbance area to absolute abundance. We use band-strength values of $A(H_2CO)_{\nu=1727} \sim 3.6 \times 10^{-17}$ cm $molecule^{-1}$ and $A(CH_3OH)_{\nu=1030} \sim 3.1 \times 10^{-17}$ cm $molecule^{-1}$, which were calibrated in the same setup by laser-interference measurements (Chuang et al. 2018). For CHD_2OH ($\nu = 1037$ cm^{-1}), the band strength is estimated by multiplying $A(CH_3OH)$ by a calibration factor of 0.43 (Nagaoka et al. 2007). Finally, the band strength of D_2CO is obtained by comparing the infrared (IR) absorbance areas of the same amount of pure H_2CO and D_2CO ices. We experimentally derive $A(D_2CO)_{\nu=1679} \sim 2.8 \times 10^{-17}$ cm $molecule^{-1}$. More details on this procedure are given in Appendix A. For a specific flux configuration, the relative uncertainties of the molecule and H-atom fluxes are, respectively, $<9\%$ and $<4\%$. The error bar estimation due to the instrumental uncertainties of the RAIRS and TPD-QMS measurements is derived from the integrated noise signals of blank experiments in the same bandwidth. Table 1 in Appendix B lists the experiments performed in this study and the relative abundance of CHD_2OH/CH_3OH derived from the RAIRS and QMS-TPD analyses.

3. Results and Discussion

In Figure 1, we present a schematic view of the reaction routes probed in our experiments. To focus on Reactions (4) and (5), we skip steps (1) and (2) and start by directly depositing formaldehyde. This way, comparable starting points between the two scenarios are obtained.

By using different isotope-labeled reactants (H_2CO and D_2CO), the importance of Reactions (4) and (4′) can be directly assessed. The yields of methanol from H_2CO through Reaction (4) and from D_2CO through (4′)—see Figure 1—should be identical, since both radical-radical routes are barrierless. Conversely, the formation of the isotope-labeled CHD_2OD through Reaction (5′) is expected to be strongly affected by the isotope effect and to become negligible, whereas (5) still takes place. Moreover, the hydrogen-addition steps $H_2CO + H$ and $D_2CO + H$ have similar activation barriers of 2318 and 2253 K, respectively, and similar rate constants (Hidaka et al. 2009; Goumans 2011). Thus, they yield comparable amounts of CH_3O and CHD_2O . The contributions of the two competing routes are hence obtained by comparing the relative abundances between the total CH_3OH yield of the H_2CO ice (Reactions (4) and (5)) and that of CHD_2OH in the D_2CO ice (Reaction (4′)).

The final infrared spectra of both $H_2CO + H$ and $D_2CO + H$ codepositions after 360 minutes at 12 K are shown in Figure 2. IR features of H_2CO are observed at 1167 (CH_2 wag., $\nu = 6$), 1249 (CH_2 rock., $\nu = 5$), 1500 (CH_2 scis., $\nu = 3$), and 1727 cm^{-1} (CO str., $\nu = 2$). In the analog deuterated experiments, D_2CO peaks are detected at 988 (CD_2 wag.), 1101 (CD_2 scis.), and 1679 cm^{-1} (CO str.). In both cases the hydrogenated products CH_3OH and CHD_2OH are detected by their CO stretching ($\nu = 8$) features at 1030 and 1037 cm^{-1} , respectively (Shimanouchi 1972; Hidaka et al. 2009), which indicates that the H-addition routes in Figure 1 proceed at a considerable rate.

Even in the experimental configuration with the highest product yield, no CHD_2OD peaks (OD str. at $\nu_1 \sim 2420$ cm^{-1} , Nagaoka et al. 2007) could be observed in the $D_2CO + H$ spectrum. The detection of CHD_2OH and nondetection of CHD_2OD are in line with the expected low efficiency of the




Figure 3. Spectra of the TPD-QMS experiments obtained after codeposition of $\text{H}_2\text{CO} + \text{H}$ (upper) and $\text{D}_2\text{CO} + \text{H}$ (lower) at 12 K for 360 minutes. The m/z signals of 32 (red), 34 (blue), and 35 (green) correspond to, respectively, CH_3OH , CHD_2OH , and CHD_2OD . No signal is detected for $m/z = 35$ at the desorption temperature of methanol (~ 140 K).

both the RAIRS and TPD ratios also excludes the possibility of the former being influenced by the heating of the substrate.

Under the assumption that Reactions (3) and (3') result in similar amounts of CH_3O and CHD_2O , respectively, and since both Reactions (4) and (4') are barrierless, the methanol yields from the abstraction route should be similar in both $\text{H}_2\text{CO} + \text{H}$ and $\text{D}_2\text{CO} + \text{H}$ experiments;

$$(4) \sim (4'), \quad (6)$$

where the parentheses denote the methanol yield of the reactions. The discrepancy between the yields of CH_3OH and CHD_2OH under our experimental conditions can therefore be used to estimate the contribution $C4$ of Reaction (4) with respect to the total yield of methanol:

$$C4 = \frac{(4)}{(4) + (5)} \sim \frac{(4')}{(4') + (5)} \\ \sim \frac{(\text{CHD}_2\text{OH})}{(\text{CH}_3\text{OH})} \sim [0.16 - 0.17]. \quad (7)$$

The estimation described here assumes that the formation of CHD_2OH and CH_3OH takes place predominantly through the reactions presented in Figure 1. Other routes to form methanol, such as $\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + \text{H}$, have very minor contributions and are hence disregarded based on the inefficient formation of CH_2OH from H_2CO hydrogenation (Song & Kästner 2017 and, e.g., Table 6 in Simons et al. 2020). Accordingly, the contribution $C5$ of Reaction (5) corresponds to:

$$C5 = 1 - C4 \sim [0.83 - 0.84]. \quad (8)$$

The experimentally derived value of $C5$ agrees well with the contribution of $\sim 90\%$ reported by Simons et al. (2020) from kinetic Monte Carlo simulations of the hydrogenation of H_2CO ices.

The $\text{H}/\text{H}_2\text{CO}$ flux ratios employed here were chosen based on the conditions of the models by Simons et al. (2020) ($\text{H}:\text{H}_2\text{CO} = 20:1$). A higher H flux could potentially favor the




Figure 4. Upper panel: yields of CH_3OH and CHD_2OH relative to the column density of CH_3OH after 360 minutes of $\text{H}_2\text{CO} + \text{H}$ codeposition at 10, 12, 14, and 16 K, as derived from the RAIRS data. Lower panel: same as the upper panel, but for the TPD-QMS data. The reported values are normalized to the most abundant yield at 12 K.

atom-addition route and thus lead to a lower product ratio. To verify whether the contributions $C4$ and $C5$ depend on the hydrogen-to-molecule ratio, a set of experiments using $\text{H}/\text{H}_2\text{CO}(\text{D}_2\text{CO}) = 30$ was performed at 10 K (see Table 1). The resulting $\text{CHD}_2\text{OH}/\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$ ratios derived from the RAIRS and TPD-QMS data are 0.18 ± 0.03 for $C4$ and 0.82 ± 0.03 for $C5$. These values are negligibly different considering the detection error. Thus, a higher hydrogen flux affects only slightly the contributions derived above, and Reaction (5) still governs the formation of CH_3OH . This implies that both flux ratios employed here represent an overabundance of H atoms, and therefore the product yield is limited by either H_2CO or D_2CO .



In our experiments, the dominance of the radical-molecule route is likely due to the higher availability of H_2CO in the environment, as opposed to H atoms, to react with CH_3O . Both CH_3O and H are very reactive species; when a CH_3O radical is formed in the vicinity of a H_2CO molecule, it readily reacts with H_2CO to form $\text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{HCO}$. On the other hand, the accreted H atoms on the surfaces are required to diffuse in order to react with the CH_3O radicals. Therefore, they will mostly react with the broadly available H_2CO , and less likely with CH_3O , which is a minor ice component. Moreover, the diffusion of hydrogen atoms also competes with its reaction with CH_3O , whereas both CH_3O and H_2CO do not diffuse and therefore have more time available to react. As a result, the higher likelihood of the two reactants in Reaction (5) to meet, overpowers Reaction (4), despite the latter being barrierless.

Although the ice composition explored here is not fully representative of interstellar ices, the foregoing reaction routes are nonetheless relevant to their chemistry. In more realistic ices, there is a lower availability of formaldehyde, and the formed CH_3O radicals can also react with other species. Nevertheless, the aforementioned reasoning still applies, since the H atoms will mostly react with CO and HCO, additionally to H_2CO . Indeed, route (5) was observed to dominate the formation of methanol even in simulations starting from the

hydrogenation of CO ice (Simons et al. 2020). Moreover, the radical-molecule route forms HCO as a byproduct, which will then be hydrogenated again to H₂CO, replenishing and thus favoring the chemical network. Additional formaldehyde formed in the gas phase in the interstellar medium can also subsequently accrete onto ice grains and take part in the radical-molecule route.

It is noteworthy that the hydrogenation of pure D₂CO ice is not perfectly equivalent to that of pure H₂CO ice. The rate constant of Reaction (3') is in fact slightly higher than that of Reaction (3) (Hidaka et al. 2009; Goumans 2011). Moreover, Reaction (5') is inhibited by the isotope effect. Thus, there should be an increase in the availability of CHD₂O in the ice during the deuterated experiments, which in turn favors the formation of CHD₂OH through route (4'). The surplus of CHD₂O is either consumed through H-induced abstraction reactions or preserved in the ice. Furthermore, the isotope effect also hampers the D-abstraction reaction D₂CO + H → DCO + HD, which is why the formation of CO is much less efficient in these scenarios (see Figure 2). This results in a higher availability of H atoms in the surface of the ice in the deuterated experiments, compared to the nondeuterated counterparts where the abstraction reaction H₂CO + H → HCO + H₂ is faster. As a consequence, the formation of CHD₂OH is also favored by the surplus of hydrogen atoms. Therefore, the contribution from Reaction (4') to forming methanol under the present experimental conditions should be regarded as an upper limit to that of Reaction (4), which puts a lower limit to Reaction (5) as being at least roughly four times more efficient than Reaction (4).

Aiming to directly confirm the occurrence of the radical-molecule route forming methanol, an experiment of H₂CO + D and a control experiment of D-atom beam exposure to the bare substrate are performed at 10 K for 360 minutes. The codeposition experiment is followed by a TPD with a ramping rate of 5 K/min. These experiments are designed to probe the following competing reactions:



The difference of the spectra acquired after the H₂CO + D experiment and the D deposition is shown in panel (a) of Figure 5. In agreement with Hidaka et al. (2009), we observe spectral features of HDCO and D₂CO as a result of D-substitution (abstraction + addition) reactions on H₂CO. Moreover, a signal at $\nu = 1034 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ is in line with the CO stretching band of CH₂DOH, which would indicate that the radical-molecule route (i.e., Reaction (10)) proceeds. However, this feature is (at least partially) blended with the CH₂ rocking mode of HDCO ($\nu = 1029 \text{ cm}^{-1}$), to an extent where deconvolution is not possible. Nonetheless, given the lower desorption temperature of HDCO ($\sim 100 \text{ K}$) with respect to that of CH₂DOH ($\sim 140 \text{ K}$), it is possible to isolate the contribution from CH₂DOH by analyzing the infrared spectra obtained during the TPD experiment. The spectra acquired at 10 K and in the temperature intervals of 80–120 K and 120–160 K are shown in panel (b) of Figure 5.

In the IR spectrum acquired in the temperature range of 80–120 K, the peak at $\nu \sim 1029 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ contains the contributions from both HDCO and CH₂DOH. However, at the interval of 120–160 K, the peak slightly shifts to $\nu \sim 1034 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, and

only accounts for the CH₂DOH left on the substrate. Based on the obtained area of this peak and its reported band strength (Nagaoka et al. 2007), we derive a CH₂DOH column density of $\sim (3.0 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{14} \text{ molecules cm}^{-2}$. This yield is ~ 4 times smaller than that of CH₃OH from the H₂CO + H experiment at 10 K (H/H₂CO = 10), which is consistent with a lower rate for the D-addition reaction to H₂CO over the H-addition counterpart.

During TPD, the mass signal $m/z = 33$ is detected at $\sim 150 \text{ K}$. However, whereas the spectroscopic signals are quite unambiguous, this does not apply to the mass spectrometric data. Since the mass signals of CH₂DOH ($m/z = 33, 32, 31, \dots$) overlap with those of CH₂DOD ($m/z = 34, 33, 32, \dots$), and since their fragmentation patterns are unknown, the interpretation of the mass spectrometry data is impeded.

The product of the D-addition route, CH₂DOD (Reaction (9)), was not observed in its OD stretching mode ($\nu \sim 2430 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) within the detection limit of the instrument, as shown by the dashed line in panel (a) of Figure 5. Given that the band strength of this feature is expected to be around 1.5 times that of the CO stretching mode of CH₂DOH (Nagaoka et al. 2007), the abundance of CH₂DOD must be appreciably smaller than that of CH₂DOH. This result provides additional compelling evidence that the radical-molecule route dominates the formation of methanol under the present conditions.

4. Conclusions

In the present work, we experimentally examine the contribution from the conventional route to the formation of methanol (Reaction (4)) with Reaction (5), and provide the respective impact of the two proposed last steps of relevance to the chemistry of molecular clouds. This was done by focusing on the specific reaction step in question, as more realistic experiments with CO ices would be much more difficult to interpret. Our main conclusions are the following:

1. We derive a contribution of $\gtrsim 83\%$ for the radical-molecule route (5), which is independent of the H/H₂CO ratios (i.e., 10 and 30) and the temperatures (i.e., 10–16 K) experimentally investigated in this work. This temperature range is typical of dense clouds, where H atoms are expected to be overabundant in comparison with H₂CO. Our experiments confirm the results in Simons et al. (2020), who performed simulations for conditions as used in the laboratory work presented here. Their model, in turn, extends to the interstellar medium, and concludes that this route dominates the formation of interstellar methanol. Our results corroborate with this finding. Comparatively, Reaction (4) should account for $\lesssim 17\%$ of the methanol formation under the present conditions.
2. Additional codeposition experiments of H₂CO and D yield measurable amounts of CH₂DOH, whereas CH₂DOD is not detectable within the IR experimental limits. This further confirms the dominance of the radical-molecule route to form methanol under our experimental conditions.
3. The conclusion drawn here does not affect the consensus that methanol is mainly formed through the hydrogenation of CO in the solid phase, albeit by means of a different mechanism than originally thought. Nonetheless, this finding represents an important change in the




Figure 5. (a) Difference spectrum of the final spectra acquired after 360 minutes of $\text{H}_2\text{CO} + \text{D}$ codeposition minus that of the analogous D-atom beam deposition for the same time, both performed at 10 K. The dashed line indicates the absence of the CH_2DOD feature at $\nu \sim 2430 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. (b) Infrared spectra obtained during the ramp-up after the $\text{H}_2\text{CO} + \text{D}$ 360 minutes codeposition. The gray, red, and blue spectra were measured at 10 K, 80–120 K, and 120–160 K, respectively.

perspective of methanol formation in space, and could affect astrochemical models that involve this key species.

4. The expected deuterium fractionation of methanol will likely be affected, with a possibly smaller abundance of deuterated species due to the kinetic isotope effect.
5. Furthermore, the HCO radical is an important astrochemical intermediate since it can react to further form H_2CO or recombine with other radicals (e.g., CH_3O and CH_2OH) to produce complex organic molecules (COMs). The alternative route probed here forms HCO radicals as a byproduct, which enriches an icy surface with this species and therefore affects the COM distribution in interstellar ices, likely enhancing the predicted formation rate of, e.g., glycolaldehyde and ethylene glycol in previous astrochemical models that did not consider Reaction (5) as a dominant channel. Models of the radical–radical reactions driven by the warming of the ice at later stages of star formation will also be affected by the additional HCO that eventually remains preserved in the ice and that becomes mobile and reacts.
6. The inclusion of deuterium atoms to microscopic kinetic Monte Carlo simulations would be a logical next step, as it could provide additional insight on the chemical network involving methanol. This, however, is beyond the scope of the present work.

The authors would like to thank Herma Cuppen for many guiding scientific discussions on the mechanism in question. This work has been supported by the Danish National Research Foundation through the Center of Excellence InterCat (grant agreement No. DNRF150). It has also been funded by the Dutch Astrochemistry Network II (DANII) and NOVA (the Netherlands Research School for Astronomy). T.L. is grateful for support from NWO via a VENI fellowship (722.017.008). G.F. acknowledges financial support from the Russian Ministry of Science and Higher Education via the State Assignment

Contract FEUZ-2020-0038. S.I. acknowledges the Royal Society for financial support.

Appendix A D₂CO Band Strength Estimation

The column densities (N_X) of the species in the ice are calculated by means of the modified Beer–Lambert equation:

$$N_X = \ln 10 \frac{\int Abs(\nu) d\nu}{A_X}, \quad (\text{A1})$$

in which $\int Abs(\nu) d\nu$ is the integrated absorbance of a given infrared band and A_X is its corresponding band strength. To estimate the band strength of D_2CO , the same deposition conditions (i.e., exposure time and dose) are applied to both pure H_2CO and D_2CO , ensuring identical abundances of both types of ices. This is achieved by using the same leak valve while keeping the same flow-rate setting. Previously, Nagaoka et al. (2007) used this method to determine the relative integrated band strengths of CH_3OH and isotopes. We plot the integrated areas of the D_2CO $\nu_2 = 1679 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ band versus those of H_2CO $\nu_2 = 1727 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ for different accumulated abundances performed at three different flux configurations, as shown in Figure 6.

After performing a linear fit to the points in the plot, we derive an average slope of $a = 0.78 \pm 0.03$. This slope corresponds to the conversion factor between $A(\text{H}_2\text{CO})$ and $A(\text{D}_2\text{CO})$:

$$A(\text{D}_2\text{CO})_{\nu_2} = a \times A(\text{H}_2\text{CO})_{\nu_2}. \quad (\text{A2})$$

Given that $A(\text{H}_2\text{CO})$ for the $\nu = 1727 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ peak has been previously measured to be $\sim 3.6 \times 10^{-17} \text{ cm molecule}^{-1}$ (Chuang et al. 2018), we estimate the D_2CO $\nu = 1679 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ band strength to be $A(\text{D}_2\text{CO}) \sim 2.8 \times 10^{-17} \text{ cm molecule}^{-1}$.




Figure 6. Plot of the integrated area of the D_2CO ($\nu_2 = 1679 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) infrared absorption band vs. that of H_2CO ($\nu_2 = 1727 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) for three different molecule fluxes, f : 8×10^{11} , 1.6×10^{12} , and $3.2 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$.

Appendix B Experiment List

In Table 1 below the experiments performed in this work are summarized.

Table 1

Overview of the Experiments Performed in This Work, as well as the Resulting $\text{CHD}_2\text{OH}/\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$ Abundance Ratios between Each Set of Experiments Derived from the RAIRS and TPD-QMS Analyses

Experiment	T_{sample} (K)	Molecule Flux ($\text{cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)	H (D) Flux ($\text{cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$)	Time (minutes)	$\text{CHD}_2\text{OH}/\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$ (RAIRS, $\nu = 8/\nu = 8$)	$\text{CHD}_2\text{OH}/\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$ (TPD)
$\text{D}_2\text{CO} + \text{H}$	10	6×10^{11}	6×10^{12}	360	0.15 ± 0.04	0.16 ± 0.01
$\text{H}_2\text{CO} + \text{H}$	10	6×10^{11}	6×10^{12}	360		
$\text{D}_2\text{CO} + \text{H}$	12	6×10^{11}	6×10^{12}	360	0.16 ± 0.04	0.16 ± 0.01
$\text{H}_2\text{CO} + \text{H}$	12	6×10^{11}	6×10^{12}	360		
$\text{D}_2\text{CO} + \text{H}$	14	6×10^{11}	6×10^{12}	360	0.16 ± 0.04	0.18 ± 0.01
$\text{H}_2\text{CO} + \text{H}$	14	6×10^{11}	6×10^{12}	360		
$\text{D}_2\text{CO} + \text{H}$	16	6×10^{11}	6×10^{12}	360	0.15 ± 0.04	0.16 ± 0.01
$\text{H}_2\text{CO} + \text{H}$	16	6×10^{11}	6×10^{12}	360		
$\text{D}_2\text{CO} + \text{H}$	10	6×10^{11}	1.8×10^{13}	360	0.18 ± 0.03	0.18 ± 0.01
$\text{H}_2\text{CO} + \text{H}$	10	6×10^{11}	1.8×10^{13}	360		
$\text{H}_2\text{CO} + \text{D}$	10	4×10^{11}	6×10^{12}	360		

Note. The RAIRS abundances were calculated from the CO stretching bands ($\nu = 8$) of CHD_2OH and CH_3OH , and the TPD abundances from the $m/z = 32$ and $m/z = 34$ mass signals.

ORCID iDs

Julia C. Santos  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3401-5660>
 Ko-Ju Chuang  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6877-5046>
 Thanja Lamberts  <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6705-2022>
 Gleb Fedoseev  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2434-2219>
 Sergio Ioppolo  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2271-1781>
 Harold Linnartz  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8322-3538>

References

- Álvarez-Barcia, S., Russ, P., Kästner, J., & Lamberts, T. 2018, *MNRAS*, **479**, 2007
- Anton, R., Wiegner, T., Naumann, W., et al. 2000, *RSci*, **71**, 1177
- Bergner, J. B., Öberg, K. I., & Rajappan, M. 2017, *ApJ*, **845**, 29
- Bottinelli, S., Boogert, A. C. A., Bouwman, J., et al. 2010, *ApJ*, **718**, 1100
- Charnley, S. B., Tielens, A. G. G. M., & Millar, T. J. 1992, *ApJL*, **399**, L71
- Chuang, K. J., Fedoseev, G., Ioppolo, S., van Dishoeck, E. F., & Linnartz, H. 2016, *MNRAS*, **455**, 1702
- Chuang, K. J., Fedoseev, G., Qasim, D., et al. 2018, *ApJ*, **853**, 102
- Cuppen, H. M., Pentead, E. M., Isokoski, K., van der Marel, N., & Linnartz, H. 2011, *MNRAS*, **417**, 2809
- Fedoseev, G., Cuppen, H. M., Ioppolo, S., Lamberts, T., & Linnartz, H. 2015, *MNRAS*, **448**, 1288
- Fuchs, G. W., Cuppen, H. M., Ioppolo, S., et al. 2009, *A&A*, **505**, 629
- Garrod, R., Park, I. H., Caselli, P., & Herbst, E. 2006, *FaDi*, **133**, 51
- Geppert, W. D., Hellberg, F., Österdahl, F., et al. 2005, in *IAU Symp.* 231, *Astrochemistry: Recent Successes and Current Challenges*, ed. D. C. Lis et al. (Cambridge Univ. Press), 117
- Goumans, T. P. M. 2011, *MNRAS*, **413**, 2615
- Haupa, K. A., Johnson, B. A., Sibert, E. L., & Lee, Y.-P. 2017, *JChPh*, **147**, 154305
- He, J., Simons, M., Fedoseev, G., et al. 2022, *A&A*, **659**, A65
- Hidaka, H., Kouchi, A., & Watanabe, N. 2007, *JChPh*, **126**, 204707
- Hidaka, H., Watanabe, M., Kouchi, A., & Watanabe, N. 2009, *ApJ*, **702**, 291
- Hiraoka, K., Ohashi, N., Kihara, Y., et al. 1994, *CPL*, **229**, 408
- Ioppolo, S., Fedoseev, G., Lamberts, T., Romanzin, C., & Linnartz, H. 2013, *RSci*, **84**, 073112
- Molpeceres, G., Kästner, J., Fedoseev, G., et al. 2021, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, **12**, 10854
- Nagaoka, A., Watanabe, N., & Kouchi, A. 2005, *ApJL*, **624**, L29
- Nagaoka, A., Watanabe, N., & Kouchi, A. 2007, *JPCA*, **111**, 3016
- Pentead, E. M., Boogert, A. C. A., Pontoppidan, K. M., et al. 2015, *MNRAS*, **454**, 531
- Potapov, A., Krasnokutski, S. A., Jäger, C., & Henning, T. 2021, *ApJ*, **920**, 111
- Qasim, D., Chuang, K. J., Fedoseev, G., et al. 2018, *A&A*, **612**, A83
- Qasim, D., Witlox, M. J. A., Fedoseev, G., et al. 2020, *RSci*, **91**, 054501
- Shimanouchi, T. 1972, *Tables of Molecular Vibrational Frequencies Consolidated*, Vol. 1 (Gaithersburg, MD: National Bureau of Standards), 1, <https://webbook.nist.gov/cgi/cbook.cgi?Source=1972SH11-160B&Units=SI&Mask=800>
- Simons, M. A. J., Lamberts, T., & Cuppen, H. M. 2020, *A&A*, **634**, A52
- Song, L., & Kästner, J. 2017, *ApJ*, **850**, 118
- Tielens, A. G. G. M., & Hagen, W. 1982, *A&A*, **114**, 245
- Tschersich, K. G. 2000, *JAP*, **87**, 2565
- Watanabe, N., & Kouchi, A. 2002, *ApJL*, **571**, L173
- Watanabe, N., Mouri, O., Nagaoka, A., et al. 2007, *ApJ*, **668**, 1001