Lecture 5: Fitting Observed Data 1

Dutline

- **1** Errors
- ² Distributions
- **Computing Distributions**
- **•** Error Propagation
- **6** Errors with Gaussian Distributions
- **6** Fitting a Straight Line
- **³** Fitting a Linear Model

Overview

- **•** fitting: compare measurements with model predictions
- **•** fitting method depends on
	- **e** errors in observations
	- how model depends on free parameters
	- definition of *best fit*
- to determine errors in observations, need to propagate errors through data acquisition and reduction
- **o** need to define what

Accuracy and Precision

- *accuracy* of observation measures correctness of result, measures of how close observational result comes to true value
- **P** *precision* of observation measures how reproducible result is, measures how exactly the result is determined without reference to what that result means
- *absolute precision*: magnitude of uncertainty in result in same units as result
- *relative precision*: uncertainty in terms of fraction of value of result
- both accuracy and precision need to be considered simultaneously
- useless to determine something with high precision but highly inaccurately
- observation cannot be considered accurate if precision is low

Random and Systematic Errors

- *systematic error* : reproducible inaccuracy introduced by faulty equipment, calibration, or technique
- accuracy generally depends on how well one can control or compensate for *systematic errors*
- *random error* : Indefiniteness of result due to *a priori* finite precision of observation, measures fluctuation in repeated observations
- **•** precision depends on how well one can overcome or analyse *random errors*
- **•** random errors require repeated trials to yield precise results
- \bullet given accuracy implies a precision at least as good \Rightarrow depends somewhat on random errors

Characterizing Distributions

- *parent distribution*: infinite number of measurements ⇒ observations distributed according to *true* probability distribution
- actual observations are *sample* of infinite number of possible measurements
- observations *estimate* parameters of parent distribution
- average: 0

$$
\mu \simeq \overline{x} \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i
$$

variance:

$$
\sigma^{2} \simeq s^{2} \equiv \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2} = \frac{1}{N-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_{i}^{2} - N \overline{x}^{2} \right)
$$

Independent Measurements

- \bullet estimate of average assumes that all measurements x_i are independent
- \bullet for variance, already used *x_i* values to estimate average \Rightarrow *N* − 1 independent measurements left
- reason that sum of $(x_i \overline{x})^2$ is divided by $N-1$
- \bullet single measurement ($N = 1$)
	- best value for average given by single measurement
	- no measure for variance

Sample Average

- **•** consider \bar{x} as variable in definition of variance
- try to find value of \overline{x} for which s^2 is minimal
- set derivative of s^2 with respect to \overline{x} to zero:

$$
\frac{\partial s^2}{\partial \overline{x}} = \frac{-2}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (x_i - \overline{x}) = \frac{-2}{N-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^N x_i - N \overline{x} \right) = 0
$$

o therefore

$$
\overline{x} \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i
$$

• definition for sample average minimizes sample variance

Average and Variance for Binned Data

- astronomical data often *binned* or discrete
- all digital data is binned

o definitions

- *B* number of bins, $b = 1, \ldots, B$
- *x^b* value of *x* in bin *b*
- *N^b* number of measurements in bin *b*
- **•** normalize N_b by total number of measurements
- probability that measurement falls into bin *b* is $P_b \equiv N_b/\sum_{b=1}^B N_b$

average:

$$
\overline{x} = \sum_{b=1}^B P_b x_b
$$

o variance:

$$
s^2 = \frac{N}{N-1} \left(\sum_{b=1}^B P_b x_b^2 - \overline{x}^2 \right)
$$

Higher-Order Moments of Distributions

- higher-order moments of distributions very sensitive to outliers
- large $x_i \overline{x}$ value dominates much more in distribution of $(x_i \overline{x})^2$ than in distribution of $|x_i - \overline{x}|$
- **•** therefore do not use even higher moments such as
	- Skewness:

$$
\equiv \frac{1}{N\sigma^3}\sum_{i}(x_i-\overline{x})^3
$$

• Kurtosis

$$
\equiv \frac{1}{N\sigma^4}\sum_{i}(x_i-\overline{x})^4-3
$$

- \bullet σ is standard deviation
- subtraction of 3 in kurtosis makes kurtosis of Gaussian zero

Computing Distributions

Numerical Recipes

- **•** funamental book on numerical algorithms
- exists for different programming languages
- 2nd edition available online at www.nr.com/oldverswitcher.html
- Chapter 6 contains algorithms to calculate special functions

Gamma Function

commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Gamma-function.svg

•
$$
\Gamma(z) = \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} e^{-t} dt
$$

integer *z*: gamma function equals factorial with offset of one

$$
n! = \Gamma(n+1)
$$

Factorial

- small *n* ⇒ compute factorial directly as $n \times (n-1) \times (n-2) \dots$
- large values \Rightarrow gamma-function
- **•** large *n*, factorial larger than largest number allowed by computer
	- single precision (IEEE 754 32-bit decimal) has maximum exponents of -126, +127
	- double precision (EEE 754 64-bit declimal) has maximum exponents of -1022, 1023
	- calculate logarithm of factorial or logarithm of gamma function
- **•** useful function routines from Numerical Recipes:
	- function gammln(x) returns ln Γ(*x*) for input *x*
	- function factrl(n) returns real *n*! for input integer *n*
	- function factln(n) returns real ln *n*! for input integer *n*
	- function bico(n,k) **returns real** $\begin{pmatrix} n \\ k \end{pmatrix}$ *k* for integer inputs *n*, *k*

useful to compute large number of frequently used distributions

Cummulative Poisson Distribution

• cumulative Poisson probability describes probability that Poisson process will lead to result between 0 and *k* − 1 inclusive:

$$
P_{x}(
$$

incomplete gamma function:

$$
P(a,x) \equiv \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_0^x t^{a-1} e^{-t} dt
$$

Cummulative Poisson Distribution (continued)

• complement also called incomplete gamma function

$$
Q(a,x) \equiv 1 - P(a,x) \equiv \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} \int_x^{\infty} t^{a-1} e^{-t} dt
$$

• cumulative Poisson probability:

$$
P_{x}(
$$

- corresponding routines in Numerical Recipes are:
	- function gammp(a, x) returns $P(a, x)$ for input a, x
	- \bullet function gammq(a, x) returns $Q(a, x)$ for input a, x

Cummulative Gauss Distribution

integral probability of Gauss function from *error function*:

$$
\mathrm{erf}(x)=\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}}\int_0^x e^{-t^2}dt
$$

complementary error function:

$$
\text{erfc}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_x^{\infty} e^{-t^2} dt
$$

Cummulative Gauss Distribution (continued)

e error functions given by incomplete gamma functions:

$$
erf(x) = P(1/2, x2) \qquad (x \ge 0)
$$

erfc(x) = Q(1/2, x²) \qquad (x \ge 0)

- **corresponding routines in Numerical Recipes are:**
	- \bullet function erf(x) returns erf(x) for input x, using gammp
	- \bullet function erfc(*x*) returns erfc(*x*) for input *x*, using gammg
	- **o** function erfcc(x) returns erfc(x) based on direct series development

Error Propagation

Basics

 \bullet function *f* depends on variables u, v, \ldots :

$$
f\equiv f(u,v,\ldots)
$$

estimate variance of *f*

$$
{\sigma_f}^2 \equiv \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (f_i - \bar{f})^2
$$

knowing variances $\sigma_{\mu}, \sigma_{\nu}, \ldots$ of variables μ, ν, \ldots

assumption, usually only approximately correct, that average of *f* is well approximated by value of *f* for averages of variables:

$$
\overline{f}=f(\overline{u},\overline{v},\ldots)
$$

Basics (continued)

Taylor expansion of *f* around average:

$$
f_i-\overline{f}\simeq (u_i-\overline{u})\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}+(v_i-\overline{v})\frac{\partial f}{\partial v}+\ldots
$$

variance in *f*:

$$
\sigma_f^2 \simeq \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left[(u_i - \overline{u}) \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} + (v_i - \overline{v}) \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} + \ldots \right]^2
$$

$$
= \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[(u_i - \overline{u})^2 \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \right)^2 + (v_i - \overline{v})^2 \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial v} \right)^2 + \right. \\ \left. 2(u_i - \overline{u})(v_i - \overline{v}) \frac{\partial f}{\partial u} \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} + \ldots \right]
$$

Basics (continued)

variances of *u* and *v*

$$
\sigma_u^2 \equiv \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (u_i - \overline{u})^2; \qquad \sigma_v^2 \equiv \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (v_i - \overline{v})^2
$$

covariance of *u* and *v*

$$
\sigma_{uv}^2 \equiv \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (u_i - \overline{u})(v_i - \overline{v})
$$

 \bullet use these defintions to obtain

$$
{\sigma_f}^2 = {\sigma_u}^2 \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}\right)^2 + {\sigma_v}^2 \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial v}\right)^2 + 2{\sigma_{uv}}^2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}\frac{\partial f}{\partial v} + \dots
$$

Basics (continued)

o from before

$$
{\sigma_f}^2 = {\sigma_u}^2 \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial u}\right)^2 + {\sigma_v}^2 \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial v}\right)^2 + 2{\sigma_{uv}}^2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial u}\frac{\partial f}{\partial v} + \dots
$$

- if differences *uⁱ* − *u* and *vⁱ* − *v* not correlated ⇒ sign of product as often positive as negative \Rightarrow covariance small compared to other terms
- if differences are correlated \Rightarrow most products $(u_i \overline{u})(v_i \overline{v})$ $positive \Rightarrow cross-correlation$ term can be large

Weighted Sum: $f = au + bv$

o partial derivatives

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial u} = a, \qquad \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} = b
$$

o variance

$$
{\sigma_f}^2 = a^2 {\sigma_u}^2 + b^2 {\sigma_v}^2 + 2ab{\sigma_{uv}}^2
$$

- *a* and *b* can be positive or negative
- signs only affect cross-correlation term
- cross-correlation term can be negative \Rightarrow makes variance smaller
- example: if each u_i is accompanied by a v_i such that $\nu_i - \overline{\nu} = -(b/a)(u_u - \overline{u})$, then $f = a\overline{u} + b\overline{\nu}$ for all u_i , v_i pairs, and $\sigma_f^2=0$

Product: *f* = *auv*

• partial derivative ∂*f* $\frac{\partial f}{\partial u} = av, \qquad \frac{\partial f}{\partial v}$ $\frac{\partial}{\partial v} = au$ • variance σ_f^2 $\frac{\sigma_f^2}{f^2} = \frac{\sigma_u^2}{u^2}$ $\frac{\sigma_u^2}{u^2} + \frac{\sigma_v^2}{v^2}$ $\frac{\sigma_v^2}{v^2} + \frac{2\sigma_{uv}^2}{uv}$ *uv*

Division: $\overline{f} = \overline{au/v}$

partial derivatives

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial u} = \frac{a}{v}, \qquad \frac{\partial f}{\partial v} = -\frac{au}{v^2}
$$

• variance

$$
\frac{{\sigma_f}^2}{{f}^2} = \frac{{\sigma_u}^2}{{u}^2} + \frac{{\sigma_v}^2}{{v}^2} - \frac{2{\sigma_{uv}}^2}{{uv}}
$$

Exponent: $f = ae^{bu}$

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial u} = bt
$$

o variance

$$
\frac{\sigma_f}{f}=b\sigma_u
$$

Power: $f = au^b$

partial derivatives

$$
\frac{\partial f}{\partial u} = \frac{bf}{u}
$$

$$
\sigma_f \qquad c \sigma_u
$$

o variance

$$
\frac{\sigma_f}{f}=b\frac{\sigma_u}{u}
$$

Fitting Observations with Gaussian Error Distributions

Least Squares Method

- \bullet series of measurements y_i with associated errors distributed according to Gaussian with width σ*ⁱ*
- \bullet same as each measurement drawn from Gaussian with width σ_i around model value *y^m*
- probability $P(y_i) \equiv P_i$ of obtaining a single measurement y_i in interval ∆*y* given by

$$
P_i\Delta y=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_i}e^{\frac{-(y_i-y_m)^2}{2\sigma_i^2}}\Delta y
$$

 \bullet different measurements have different associated errors σ_i

Least Squares Method (continued)

probability *P* of obtaining series of *N* measurements

$$
P(\Delta y)^N \equiv \prod_{i=1}^N (P_i \Delta y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{N/2} \prod_i \sigma_i} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(y_i - y_m)^2}{\sigma_i^2}\right] \Delta y^N
$$

 \bullet highest probability *P* for smallest

$$
\chi^{2} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{N} {\chi_{i}}^{2} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(y_{i} - y_{m})^{2}}{\sigma_{i}^{2}}
$$

- determine most probable model value for y_m for series of measurements *yⁱ* by finding value(s) for *y^m* for which sum of squares $(y_i-y_m)^2/\sigma_i^2$ is minimal
- *method of least squares*, first described by Gauss

Chi-Squared Distribution

- if errors are gaussian, each χ_i is random draw from normal distribution
- sum of $\chi_{l}{}^{2}$ is called *chi-square*
- *N* measurements fit by model with *M* parameters: *N* − *M* independent measurements
- probability distribution for χ^2 is *chi-square distribution* for $\mathsf{N}-\mathsf{M}$ *degrees of freedom*
- **•** distribution obtained by drawing *N* − *M* random samples from normal distribution and add squares
- probability of given χ^2 from <code>gammq-function</code>
- probability that observed $\chi_{\rho{bs}}^2$ or greater is reached for ${\cal N}-M$ $\mathsf{degrees\ of\ freedom\ is}\ P(\chi^2_{obs})=\text{gamma}(0.5(N-M),0.5\chi^2_{obs})$

Chi-Squared Distribution (continued)

- if probability is very small \Rightarrow something is wrong
	- wrong model
	- errors underestimated
	- errors not distributed as Gaussians
- probability of 0.05 is often acceptable
- wrong models produce much smaller probabilities (< 0.000001)
- probability of 5% occurs, on average, once every 20 trials
- **•** finding 0.05 probability due to chance quite common
- **•** consistently low probabilities must be investigated

Negative Results

- apparently significant results can arise from ignoring negative results
- **•** lottery winner is person with correct six-digit number
- **•** probability for one person to have winning number is one in a million
- **•** if several million people participate we expect several to have guessed the correct number
- **•** less obvious: repeated experiment, i.e. stock broker
- **•** ten million people predict how stocks change in value
- after one year, select top 10% predictors
- repeat for total of six rounds \Rightarrow (on average) ten brokers will have predicted among top 10% for six years in a row, even if prediction process is purely random!
- must know total number of brokers to decide wether they are better than random

Model Fitting

- fit model to data set, present 3 parts:
	- best-fit values of parameters a_1, a_2, \ldots
	- 2 errors in these parameters
	- **3** probability that measured χ^2 is obtained by chance; i.e. the probability that model adequately describes measurements
- 5 cases for *y^m*
	- **1** constant (y_m same for all *i*)
	- 2 straight line $y_m = a + bx$ where y_m depends on variable x and model parameters *a*, *b*
	- 3 straight line $y_m = a + bx$ where y_m depends on variables x, y, model parameters *a*, *b*
	- \bullet linear function $y_m = f(x, a, b, c, \ldots)$ where y_m depends on variable x and linearly on model parameters *a*, *b*, . . .
	- ⁵ general (non-linear) case where *y^m* depends on variable *x* and α **parameters** a_1, a_2, \ldots $\gamma_m = \gamma_m(x; \vec{a})$

Weighted Averages

- **●** constant model $y_m = a$ \Rightarrow *a* best estimate for average \overline{y} of y_i
- most probable value of a by minimizing χ^2 with respect to a

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial a}\left[\sum_{i=1}^N\frac{(y_i-a)^2}{\sigma_i^2}\right]=0\Rightarrow\sum_{i=1}^N\frac{y_i-a}{\sigma_i^2}=0
$$

o least squares found for

$$
\overline{y} \equiv a_{\text{min}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{y_i}{\sigma_i^2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}}
$$

Weighted Averages (continued)

- \bullet determine estimate of error in \overline{y}
- \overline{y} is function of variables y_1, y_2, \ldots
- *if measurements y_i not correlated*: variance of \bar{y} from error propagation:

$$
\sigma_{\overline{y}}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\sigma_i^2 \left(\frac{\partial \overline{y}}{\partial y_i} \right)^2 \right] = \sum_{i=1}^N \left[\sigma_i^2 \left(\frac{1/\sigma_i^2}{\sum_{k=1}^N (1/\sigma_k^2)} \right)^2 \right]
$$

o therefore

$$
{\sigma_{\overline{y}}}^2 = \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^N (1/\sigma_i^2)}
$$

Identical Errors

• in case where all measurement errors are identical ($\sigma_i \equiv \sigma$)

$$
\overline{y} = \frac{(1/\sigma^2) \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_i}{(1/\sigma^2) \sum_{i=1}^{N} (1)} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} y_i
$$

o therefore

$$
\sigma_{\overline{y}}^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{N}
$$

 \overline{a}

Fitting Straight Line

- straight line: $y_m(x, a, b) = a + bx \Rightarrow y_m(x_i, a, b) = a + bx$
- fit *a*, *b* by minimizing χ^2 with respect to *a*, *b*
- set corresponding derivatives $\chi^{\mathbf{2}}$ to zero:

$$
\frac{\partial \sum_{i=1}^{N} [(y_i - a - bx_i)/\sigma_i]^2}{\partial a} = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{y_i - a - bx_i}{\sigma_i^2} \right) = 0 \Rightarrow
$$

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{y_i}{\sigma_i^2} - a \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} - b \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{x_i}{\sigma_i^2} = 0
$$

$$
\frac{\partial \sum_{i=1}^{N} [(y_i - a - bx_i)/\sigma_i]^2}{\partial b} = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{x_i(y_i - a - bx_i)}{\sigma_i^2} = 0 \Rightarrow
$$

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{x_i y_i}{\sigma_i^2} - a \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{x_i}{\sigma_i^2} - b \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{x_i^2}{\sigma_i^2} = 0
$$

Fitting Straight Line (continued)

- all sums can be evaluated without knowing *a* or *b*
- **o** define the following sums

$$
\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2} \equiv S; \qquad \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{x_i}{\sigma_i^2} \equiv S_x; \qquad \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{x_i^2}{\sigma_i^2} \equiv S_{xx}
$$

$$
\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{y_i}{\sigma_i^2} \equiv S_y; \qquad \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{x_i y_i}{\sigma_i^2} \equiv S_{xy}; \qquad \Delta \equiv SS_{xx} - (S_x)^2
$$

rewrite as two equations for two unknowns *a* and *b*:

$$
aS + bS_x - S_y = 0
$$

$$
aS_x + bS_{xx} - S_{xy} = 0
$$

Fitting Straight Line (continued)

• solutions

$$
a=\frac{S_{xx}S_y-S_xS_{xy}}{\Delta};\;\;b=\frac{SS_{xy}-S_xS_y}{\Delta}
$$

e errors in *a*, *b* from considering *a*, *b* to depend on independent parameters *yⁱ*

$$
\frac{\partial a}{\partial y_i} = \frac{S_{xx} - x_i S_x}{\sigma_i^2 \Delta}; \qquad \frac{\partial b}{\partial y_i} = \frac{x_i S - S}{\sigma_i^2 \Delta}
$$

• use error propagation

$$
{\sigma_a}^2 = \frac{S_{xx}}{\Delta}; \qquad {\sigma_b}^2 = \frac{S}{\Delta}
$$

probability that good fit would produce observed $\chi^2_{\,\, obs}$ or bigger:

$$
Q = \text{gamma}\left(\frac{N-2}{2},\frac{\chi^2_{\text{obs}}}{2}\right)
$$

Straight Line Fitting Example

- number of new physics and astronomy students in Netherlands \bullet
- **•** errors in measured integer numbers: square root of number
- actual number in year is drawn from distribution (here Poissonian) \bullet around expected value
- same in photon-counting observations

Example (continued)

- good choice of *a*, *b*
- number of students as $N(t) = a + bt$ where *t* is the year \Rightarrow *a* gives number of students for year 0
- sums involving *x_i-*values are very large \Rightarrow subtracting them from one another (as in computing ∆) easily leads to roundoff errors
- errors in *a* and *b* will be highly correlated: small change in *b* changes *a* dramatically in one direction
- **•** prevent both problems by centering time interval around point of fitting, i.e. $N = a + b(t - 1994)$
- avoids round-off errors and correlation of variations are minimized
- **good practice in astronomy to define time with respect to some** fiducial point near middle of measurements

Linear Models with Errors in Both Coordinates

xⁱ may also have errors ⇒ minimize

$$
\chi^2(a,b) = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(y_i - a - bx_i)^2}{\sigma_{y_i}^2 + b^2 \sigma_{x_i}^2}
$$

• weighted sum of variances in denominator from error propagation

a determined from setting partial derivative to zero

$$
a = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(y_i - bx_i)^2}{\sigma_{y_i}^2 + b^2 \sigma_{x_i}^2}\right] / \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\sigma_{y_i}^2 + b^2 \sigma_{x_i}^2}
$$

- *b* determination more complicated because equation becomes non-linear ⇒ numerical solution to minimize with respect to *b*
- at each iteration ensure that minimum with respect to *b* is also minimized with respect to *a*
- complicated errors in parameter estimates \Rightarrow use apprach to be discussed for general case

General Linear Least Squares Problem

Linear Models

- model *y m i* is linear combination of *M* given functions of *x*
- **e** example: polynomial of degree *M* − 1: $y(x) = a_1 + a_2x + a_3x^2 + \ldots + a_{M}x^{M}$
- **o** general form

$$
y(x) = \sum_{k=1}^N A_k X_k
$$

• $X_1(x), \ldots, X_M(x)$ arbitrary (non-linear!) fixed functions of *x* **o** minimize

$$
\chi^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{y_{i} - \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_{k} X_{k}(x_{i})}{\sigma_{i}^{2}}
$$

o design matrix

$$
A_{ij}=\frac{X_j(x_i)}{\sigma_i}
$$

Linear Models (continued)

- \bullet in general, *A* has more rows than columns ($N > M$)
- vector \vec{b} of length N:

$$
b_i=\frac{y_i}{\sigma_i}
$$

minimum of chi-squared where derivatives with respect to all *M* parameters vanishes leads to

$$
(A^T A)\vec{a} = A^T \vec{b}
$$

inverse matrix of positive definite matrix *A ^TA*

$$
C=(A^T A)^{-1}
$$

• errors in parameters then given by

$$
\sigma^2(a_j)=C_{jj}
$$

 \bullet off-diagonal elements C_{ik} are covariances between a_i and a_k

Linearizing Models

- apparently nonlinear problems can be linearized
- **e** example: $y(x) = ae^{bx}$ becomes $\log y(x) = c + bx$
- warning: transformations does not make Gaussian errors into Gaussian errors
- warning: watch out for degenerate parameters, e.g. $y(x) = ae^{bx+d}$