Polarization signatures of nano- and microplastics suspended in the water column simulated at the water surface and top-ofatmosphere levels

Olivier Burggraaff

Shungu Garaba

Robert Foster

Paolo Corradi

magell un artal group



CARL VON OSSIETZKY UNIVERSITÄT

U.S. NAVAL RESEARCH LABORATORY



Discovery Element of the European Space Agency's Basic Activities; contract no. 4000132037/20/NL/GLC

ESA D/TEC Open Space Innovation Platform (OSIP) call for ideas campaign on Remote Sensing of Plastic Marine Litter

satellite missions

Polarimetric

cean Plastics Polarization Properties OP³







Lab Experiment

Theoretical Modeling



Why Polarization?



From https://thinklucid.com/



Anne-Lise King, <u>www.artpolarisant.com</u>





Need to document angularly and spectrally:

Scattering matrix ${\bf M}$

Reflection matrix **BRDF**

 \rightarrow Forward model \rightarrow Remote sensing exploitation

Posing the radiometric problem

Radiative Transfer Equation* (RTE)





*simplified



FLIR camera







Angle of Linear Polarization Hue $AoLP = \frac{1}{2}\arctan\left(-\frac{U}{Q}\right)$



Field Campaign (PIs: Doxaran, Harmel, Gernez, Tormos) Lake Berre (France), HYPERNETS station

~plastic optical properties...What matters?

Size

South Atlantic (Err = 17%)

North Pacific (Err = 23%)

1400

Refractive index



~plastic optical properties...<u>Assumptions</u>

Refractive index



All the refractive indices from 1.05 to 1.22 But imaginary part = 0 (i.e., no absorption)

<u>Shape</u>

Homogeneous spheres







*All the computations were performed in *multiproc* mode (Number of CPU = 40)











Radiative transfer simulation (directional)



Total Stokes vector (radiance I + polarization) + DoLP + AoLP





Water Stokes vector (radiance I + polarization) + DoLP + AoLP















Q(up)

DOP(up)

9.0e-02

8.5e-02

8.0e-02

7.5e-02

7.0e-02

6.5e-02

6.0e-02

5.5e-02

4.9e-02

2.8e-02

2.1e-02

1.4e-02

6.2e-03

8.6e-03

-1.6e-02

-2.3e-02

1.2e-03 270

U(up)

Conclusions

- **Detectability demonstrated** for micrometric up to hundreds of µm depending on concentration
- limited for larger microplastic items (>1mm)

• Retrieval algorithms should be based on a multi-directional approach I(up)

→ Exploitation of the CNES
POLDER missions archive
(~14 directions, 3 polar.
Bands, >10years of data)

→ Waiting for PACE (NASA) and 3MI (EumetSat/ESA)





Outlooks: going beyond the sphere

Need to consider several shape types:



(from Robin et al., 2019, STTE)

Need to consider aggregates with biological coating/matrix:





Need to consider orientation and vertical profile



Need to several water types (Chl-a, CDOM, sediment)





Outlooks: critical need of actual data

Several sensors and prototypes

Specim-IQ camera



GroundSpex Laboratory

from Leiden University

FLIR camera



Mantis: an all-sky visible-to-near-infrared hyper-angular spectropolarimeter

Robert Foster,^{1,*} ^(a) Deric Gray,¹ ^(b) Jeffrey Bowles,¹ ^(c) Dan Korwan,¹ Ilya Slutsker,^{2,3} Mikhail Sorokin,^{2,3} Michael Roche,⁴ Adam Smith,⁴ and Larry Pezzaniti⁴





Mission of opportunity... Please join!



Thank you!

Tristan Harmel, <u>tristan.harmel@magellium.fr</u> Olivier Burggraaff, <u>burggraaff@strw.leidenuniv.nl</u> Shungu Garaba, <u>shungu.garaba@uol.de</u> Robert Foster, <u>robert.foster@nrl.navy.mil</u> Paolo Corradi, <u>paolo.Corradi@esa.int</u>

<u>Funding from:</u> Discovery Element of the European Space Agency's Basic Activities contract no. **4000132037/20/NL/GLC**

