

Review of high-contrast imaging systems for current and future ground-based and space-based telescopes III.

Technology opportunities and pathways

Frans Snik^a, Olivier Absil^b, Pierre Baudoz^c, Mathilde Beaulieu^d, Eduardo Bendek^e, Eric Cady^f, Brunella Carlomagno^b, Alexis Carlotti^g, Nick Cvetojevic^c, David Doelman^a, Kevin Fogarty^h, Raphaël Galicher^c, Olivier Guyon^{i,j,k}, Sebastiaan Haffert^a, Elsa Huby^c, Jeffrey Jewell^f, Nemanja Jovanovic^l, Christoph Keller^a, Matthew A. Kenworthy^a, Justin Knight^{j,m}, Jonas Kühnⁿ, Johan Mazoyer^{h,o}, Kelsey Miller^{i,m}, Mamadou N'Diaye^d, Barnaby Norris^{p,q}, Emiel Por^a, Laurent Pueyo^h, A J Eldorado Riggs^f, Garreth Ruane^l, Dan Sirbu^e, J. Kent Wallace^f, Michael Wilby^a, and Marie Ygouf^r

^aLeiden Observatory, Leiden University, P.O. Box 9513, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

^bSpace sciences, Technologies, and Astrophysics Research (STAR) Institute, University of Liège, 19C allée du Six Août, B-4000 Liège, Belgium

^cLESIA, Observatoire de Paris, PSL Research University, CNRS, Sorbonne Universités, Univ. Paris Diderot, UPMC Univ. Paris 06, Sorbonne Paris Cité, 5 place Jules Janssen, 92190 Meudon, France

^dUniversité Cote d'Azur, Observatoire de la Cote d'Azur, CNRS, Laboratoire Lagrange, Parc Valrose, F-06108 Nice, France

^eNASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, Mountain View, CA, 94035, USA

^fJet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA 91109 USA

^gCNRS, Institut de Planétologie et d'Astrophysique de Grenoble (IPAG), F-38000, Grenoble, France

^hSpace Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Drive, 21218 Baltimore MD, USA

ⁱAstrobiology Center, National Institutes of Natural Sciences, 2-21-1 Osawa, Mitaka, Tokyo, JAPAN

^jSteward Observatory, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA

^kNational Astronomical Observatory of Japan, Subaru Telescope, National Institutes of Natural Sciences, Hilo, HI 96720, USA

^lCalifornia Institute of Technology, 1200 E. California Blvd., Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

^mCollege of Optical Sciences, University of Arizona, 1630 E University Blvd, Tucson, AZ 85719, USA

ⁿInstitute for Particle Physics and Astrophysics, ETH Zurich, Wolfgang-Pauli-Str. 27, CH-8093 Zurich, Switzerland

^oJohns Hopkins University, Zanvyl Krieger School of Arts and Sciences, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Bloomberg Center for Physics and Astronomy, 3400 North Charles Street, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA

^pSydney Institute for Astronomy (Sifa), School of Physics, University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

^qAustralian Astronomical Observatory, Faculty of Science and Engineering, Macquarie University, NSW 2109, Australia

^rIPAC, Caltech, 1200 E. California Blvd., Pasadena, CA 91125, USA

ABSTRACT

The Optimal Optical Coronagraph Workshop at the Lorentz Center in September 2017 in Leiden, the Netherlands gathered a diverse group of 25 researchers working on exoplanet instrumentation to stimulate the emergence and sharing of new ideas. This contribution is the final part of a series of three papers summarizing the outcomes of the workshop, and presents an overview of novel optical technologies and systems that are implemented or considered for high-contrast imaging instruments on both ground-based and space telescopes. The overall objective of high contrast instruments is to provide direct observations and characterizations of exoplanets at contrast levels as extreme as 10^{-10} . We list shortcomings of current technologies, and identify opportunities and development paths for new technologies that enable quantum leaps in performance. Specifically, we discuss the design and manufacturing of key components like advanced deformable mirrors and coronagraphic optics, and their amalgamation in “adaptive coronagraph” systems. Moreover, we discuss highly integrated system designs that combine contrast-enhancing techniques and characterization techniques (like high-resolution spectroscopy) while minimizing the overall complexity. Finally, we explore extreme implementations using all-photonics solutions for ground-based telescopes and dedicated huge apertures for space telescopes.

Keywords: High contrast imaging, Exoplanets, Technology

1. INTRODUCTION

This mini-review is one of the results of the “Optimal Optical Coronagraph” workshop held at the Lorentz Center in Leiden on September 25-29 2017, which brought together a diverse group of 25 researchers (most of the authors of this paper) to discuss and work on urgent problems in high-contrast imaging of exoplanets. A number of interactive sessions led to new insights and collaborations. The main outcomes of the workshop are summarized in a series of papers: Paper I on Contrast metrics and coronagraph optimization,¹ Paper II on common-path wavefront sensing and phase retrieval techniques,² and this is Paper III that reviews novel technologies for high-contrast imaging. In this review, we will cover a broad range of optical elements, contrast-enhancing techniques, and exoplanet characterization functionalities that can be combined into systems for high-contrast imaging. We cover both ground-based and space-based telescope implementations, which to a large extent apply the same elements. However, the typical time-scales on which phase aberrations vary are drastically different on the ground and in space: the Earth’s atmosphere limits raw contrast on ground-based telescopes to $\sim 10^{-3}$ – 10^{-5} at a few diffraction widths, while a stable space observatory can dig dark holes as deep as $\sim 10^{-11}$. In this review, we do not split up ground-based and space-based systems, but rather discuss the basic optical elements and the integrated optical systems for general high-contrast instruments, and highlight specific use cases for on the ground or in space. We emphasize that this review mostly reflects the discussions during our workshop, and is therefore by no means aiming to be complete in any way. We refer to existing reviews for more comprehensive introductions to the field of high-contrast imaging.³⁻⁶ We will discuss a number of opportunities that we identified, even though their TRL is at most 1, and the level of craziness is occasionally high.

2. COMPONENTS FOR HIGH-CONTRAST IMAGING

2.1 Deformable mirrors

Adaptive Optics (AO) forms the heart of high-contrast imaging systems, both on the ground as well as in space. For ground-based telescopes, one or several deformable mirrors (DMs) mostly have the functionality to counter the effects of the turbulent atmosphere. Lessons learnt from the VLT/SPHERE⁷ and Gemini/GPI⁸ instruments indicate that upgrades should include deformable mirrors that run at considerably higher speed; ~ 3 kHz. Moreover, to improve the Strehl ratio at wavelengths down to visible light (in which we want to see planets in reflected light), future DMs need more actuators (several thousand for the current 8-m class telescopes), even though their effect on raw contrast will mostly still need to be at a few λ/D (i.e. a few cycles over the pupil).

In space, DMs are mainly used to correct for slowly evolving small aberrations of the telescope and instrument themselves, and to dig a dark hole around the suppressed PSF core. To enable wide and deep dark holes, both

Further author information: (Send correspondence to Frans Snik)
Frans Snik: E-mail: snik@strw.leidenuniv.nl




Figure 1. Illustration of the photonic DM concept.¹¹ A laser source illuminates and activates the actuator, which is responsive based on the polarization state of the light.

phase and amplitude aberrations need to be controlled, which is achieved by a pair of DMs, one of which is not located in the pupil and acts on amplitude through Fresnel propagation of its phase shape to the pupil plane.

Many different actuator types have been implemented in deformable mirrors; including piezo, voicecoil, magnetic reluctance, MEMS, bimorph, and ferrofluid actuation.⁹ One issue with DMs with high actuator counts is cabling. This issue is solved by a “photonic DM”,^{10,11} which uses light to address the deformable phase-sheet to activate individual activators, see Fig. 1. In this implementation, a special polymer hybrid foil can be made to expand and contract by controlling direction of a laser that illuminates part of the foil. This concept can be used to implement sparse wavefront control¹¹ in which only a small portion of the surface is actuated to correct higher-order aberrations directly on the primary mirror or as part of a stand-alone photonic device. Sparse wavefront control meets the specialized needs of space-based high-contrast imaging in which faint and slowly varying speckles must be corrected at slower speeds and for which a full-surface modulation is not required.




Figure 2. Cartoon design for an “antichromatic” deformable element.

One major challenge for AO systems on the ground and particularly in space is to deliver contrast performance over a broad wavelength range, to enable spectroscopy of the target under study. As a single deformable mirror only provides a phase shape that is independent of wavelength (in absolute sense), the resulting contrast is hence optimal at only one wavelength, as the wavefront is always to some extent chromatic due to the atmosphere and the instrument itself. Multiple (three¹² or more) can be combined to deliver control over contrast over a broader spectral band, at the cost of added optomechanical and control complexity. Adaptive optics systems can also be extended with dedicated active elements that provide absolute phase control as a function of wavelength. Spatial

Light Modulators (SLMs) constitute one possible solution, as they contain transmissive liquid-crystal pixels, which phase (including spectral dispersion; in one polarization direction) can be controlled by voltage. SLMs generally have many pixels, and are increasingly fast. One other possible solution, particularly for space-based high-contrast imaging, is sketched in Fig. 2. This adaptive element is comprised of a combination of glasses with different dispersions (cf. crown/flint of achromatic lenses). By selectively expanding/contracting a part of each glass, e.g. through heating using transparent electrodes, a spectral slope can be imposed on the resulting wavefront.

2.2 Coronagraphs

Coronagraphs are optical elements, or combinations thereof, that (locally) suppress the diffraction halo of the stellar PSF to enable direct observations of a close companion.¹³ Coronagraphic elements can reside in the focal plane and/or pupil plane and manipulate the amplitude and/or phase of the stellar light such that diffraction rings disappear. There is a wide range of coronagraphic techniques, and during the first Leiden workshop in 2004 a “coronagraphic tree of life” was constructed.^{3,14} During the 2017 Leiden workshop it was concluded that this tree is now so convoluted, with many branches crossing and hybridizing with other coronagraphic branches and also with totally different parts of the high-contrast imaging system that it is time to cut down the tree, and discuss coronagraphic systems in all their complicated glory. For this mini-review, we focus our intention on coronagraphic phase masks, both in the focal plane as well in the pupil plane, as they have seen the most development regarding design and manufacturing in recent years, and, moreover, they deliver the best ideal contrast performance.




Figure 3. Electron microscope images of the Hybrid Lyot coronagraph mask for WFIRST,¹⁵ the Complex Mask Coronagraph for SExAO,¹⁶ and the Annular Groove Phase Mask.¹⁷

Fig. 3 displays electron microscope images of three advanced focal-plane coronagraph masks. All three masks have been produced using lithographic techniques. The Hybrid Lyot mask¹⁵ consists of a metallic and a dielectric layer, patterned to deliver the desired coronagraphic phase mask, in combination with Zernike wavefront-sensing (through the $\pi/2$ dimple in the middle). The Complex Mask Coronagraph¹⁶ was computer-optimized to diffract all the on-axis light outside the Subaru pupil (and inside the secondary obscuration and spiders), for a relatively broad wavelength range. The Annular Groove Phase Mask¹⁷ consists of sub-wavelength circular grooves that conspire to create a relatively broadband half-wave retarder, which fast axis forms a Vector Vortex Coronagraph that destructively interferes the on-axis light.

The latter is an example of a “geometric phase” element^{18,19} that imposes a phase pattern in a strictly achromatic fashion. When the retardance of such an element is half-wave at any given wavelength, then no leakage of the non-coronagraphic PSF occurs. Using state-of-the-art liquid crystal techniques, geometric phase patterns can now be applied to a substrate with any possible configuration with $\sim 4 \mu\text{m}$ pixelation,²⁰ and with high diffraction efficiencies over wavelength ranges of more than an octave.²¹ These liquid-crystal technologies are being exploited in the vector-APP coronagraph,²² see Fig. 4 for a little image gallery. This coronagraph resides in the pupil plane and applies a (geometric) phase pattern such that the resulting PSF has a dark hole, which remains dark over a large wavelength range. By splitting circular polarization states (for which the geometric




Figure 4. *Top*: stitched microscope images of vector-APP devices in between crossed polarizers.^{23–25} *Bottom*: Vector-APP point-spread functions. The first and the third correspond to the pupil phase patterns above. The middle one is an on-sky result from Ref.²⁶.

phase imposes a phase with opposite signs), the resulting two PSFs have dark holes on either side. As essentially *any* phase pattern can be produced, the vector-APP pattern can be multiplexed with holographic spots for focal-plane wavefront sensing,²³ see Fig. 4 on the right.

In general, technology now allows us to produce virtually any (static) phase and amplitude pattern that a computer with a good optimizer algorithm¹ can come up with. In addition, the combination of new technology and optimizers now opened the door for hybrid coronagraphic systems, in which pupil- and focal-plane amplitude/phase masks are combined (also with the rest of the system) to yield optimal performance.

2.3 Detectors

For extreme high-dynamic-range imaging, we require low-noise or no-noise detectors. In addition, for ground-based instruments, we require very fast (several kHz) frame rates, at least for the wavefront sensor cameras, but preferentially also for the science cameras. The SAPHIRA detector²⁷ and its C-RED One incarnation offer low-noise, high-speed operation, thanks to an avalanche photodiode array. These are now the detectors of choice for wavefront sensing in ground-based instruments.

Microwave Kinetic Inductance Detectors (MKIDs²⁸) hold the promise of offering the ultimate performance. As they are photon-counting detectors, they have no read noise, and run at the ultimate speed. In addition, as the energy of each photon is measured, they have an intrinsic spectral resolving power ($\lambda/\Delta\lambda\approx 10$). They can therefore both be used for (focal-plane) wavefront sensing, as well as for integral-field spectroscopy. They may also find a use in high-resolution spectrograph to effectuate order-sorting. The first MKID arrays are currently being deployed at high-contrast imaging instruments.²⁸




Figure 5. Two-dimensional representation of a multidimensional Venn diagram that aims to visualize the interplay between the various optical components and modalities that together constitute a high-contrast imaging system. We identify technologies that combine various functionalities in the cross-sections.

3. SYSTEMS FOR HIGH-CONTRAST IMAGING

None of the optical components discussed in the previous section can achieve the required contrast performance by itself. High-contrast imaging therefore requires, *in the extreme*, a systems approach. In Fig. 5 we present a two-dimensional representation of a multidimensional Venn diagram that aims to visualize the interplay between the various optical components and modalities that together constitute a high-contrast imaging system. The adaptive optics, including (focal-plane) wavefront sensing, deliver, together with the coronagraphic optics, the raw contrast. Particularly for ground-based instruments, additional contrast-enhancing techniques like spectroscopy (including Spectral Differential Imaging and High-resolution spectroscopy) and polarimetry can deliver additional orders of magnitudes of exoplanet detectability in the residual stellar speckle halo. At the same time, they furnish the diagnostic capability to *characterize* the atmospheres and possible surfaces of the exoplanets under observation.

The complicated Venn diagram of Fig. 5 mostly serves to identify technologies that combine different functionalities for high-contrast imaging, and can thus facilitate systems-level design choices for the increasingly integrated instruments of the future.

3.1 Adaptive Coronagraphy

One obvious merger of high-contrast imaging functionalities, enabled by new technologies, is the amalgamation of adaptive optics and coronagraphy into “adaptive coronagraphy”. Both separate techniques aim to shape the stellar PSF such that companions can most optimally be detected at a small angular separation from the star. Increasingly, coronagraphy has occupied the pupil plane to effectuate this beam-shaping. Therefore, a tandem functionality in the pupil plane between correcting wavefront errors and “PSF engineering” is an

obvious direction. Note that the joint goal of adaptive coronagraphy is not to create a flat wavefront; the goal is to achieve contrast through whatever means. An adaptive coronagraph system has many benefits, as the system can be adapted to both the observational circumstances, and the actual science case. As for the environmental factors, such a system can

- adapt to the state of the telescope (e.g. missing segments);
- adapt to the observing conditions (good/bad seeing);
- adaptively correct optical errors that degrade contrast.

And as for the scientific goals, such a system can

- create a large dark hole to enable direct detection of exoplanets;
- create a deep, small and co-rotating dark hole at a known position of an exoplanet, to enable characterization;
- accomplish contrast performance around two (or more) binary (or multiple) stars in the field of view.

A first implementation of adaptive coronagraphy is through leveraging of the DM(s) to dig coronagraphic dark holes.² The most generic formulation for any telescope aperture is through the Active Correction of Aperture Discontinuities,²⁹ which uses the common two-DM architecture of space-based high-contrast systems to augment the coronagraphic performance. Novel optical elements that derive from the display/projector industry are currently being successfully tested for adaptive coronagraphy with a large number of degrees of freedom (i.e. programmable pixels). Spatial Light Modulators (SLMs) can be used to implement complicated phase patterns in the focal plane and/or the pupil in quasi-real-time.³⁰ And Digital Mirror Devices (DMDs) can be used for adaptive amplitude apodization, currently in binary black/white mode,³¹ but possibly extended to greyscale operation through time modulation.

3.2 Spectroscopy

Spectroscopy is an obvious “back-end” technique for high-contrast imaging to provide characterization diagnostics of targets under study. In addition, it also provides significant contrast-enhancement, particularly for ground-based instruments. Most current high-contrast imagers have implemented a low-resolution Integral Field Spectrograph that enables distinguishing the faint signal of an exoplanet at a fixed angular separation from the residual speckle pattern that grows with wavelength.³² Such IFS data also deliver low-resolution spectra of exoplanets for first-order characterization. However, it requires medium spectral resolution to really discern the instrumental effects as a function of wavelength.³³

Even though direct observations of faint exoplanets are starved for useful photons from the target, at has recently been shown³⁴ that splitting up the light in high-resolution spectra is beneficial, as most spectral lines (in reflection or emission from the planet) that can be distinguished from direct stellar lines only become sharp and deep features at high spectral resolution. Individual spectral lines from the planet typical drown in the photon noise from the residual stellar speckles, but a cross-correlation of the spectral data with a template consisting of a forest of spectral lines at known wavelengths, originating from known atmospheric constituents (e.g. CO, H₂O, O₂), provides both the necessary contrast enhancement and the characterization of the exoplanet at the same time. We therefore require spectrographs or rather spectroscopic integral-field units at medium and high spectral resolution to achieve both the best contrast performance, and the full range of spectral diagnostics.

A crucial enabling technology to combine high-contrast imaging and high-resolution spectroscopy has been found in the form of single-mode fibers (SMF).^{35–37} Such single-mode fibers do not only serve as a conduits of light from a high-contrast focal plane; they also act as natural coronagraphs as they only accept the fundamental mode which roughly takes the shape of an on-axis PSF. As such, a SMF is naturally matched to feed the diffraction-limited core of an exoplanet image. Moreover, it naturally reject off-axis light from the stellar PSF, particularly if the electric field goes through one or two nulls over the mode-field diameter. This property is




Figure 6. Example of a SCAR coronagraph system. The pupil phase pattern (*top left*) creates a PSF (*top right*) that contains two nulls for every single-mode fiber in the multi-core fiber (*bottom left*) when fed through the 3D-printed microlens array (*bottom right*). [S. Haffert,³⁹ E. Por,⁴⁰ M. Blaicher]

exploited by the “SCAR” coronagraph system^{37,38} that shapes the off-axis stellar PSF (with a pupil-plane phase pattern à la the vector-APP) to minimize the off-axis coupling into single-mode fibers through a microlens array, see Fig. 6. This means that the PSF itself does not necessarily have dark regions anymore, but that the entire end-to-end system is optimized for contrast performance (including tip/tilt sensitivity and broadband use).

In addition to patterned liquid-crystal technologies, SCAR can profit from brand-new technologies. An integral-field unit can now be constructed from multi-core fibers⁴¹ that combine many single-mode fibers in a single cladding. The microlens array that feeds every individual fiber can now be 3D-printed⁴² on top of this multi-core fiber, see Fig. 6. The nanoprinting has sufficient resolution that even compound or aspheric lenses (e.g. PIAA) can be manufactured.⁴² The resulting compound fiber-head is very easy to install in a focal plane of a high-contrast imaging instrument. In addition, the use of single-mode fibers or indeed multi-single-mode-fiber cores has the additional benefit that the corresponding (integral-field) spectrograph can be extremely compact.⁴³

One disadvantage of high-resolution spectroscopy, particularly when combined with an integral-field unit, is that it requires detectors with copious amounts of pixels to sample the spectra. This situation can be alleviated by




Figure 7. Observation of Venus with a spectropolarimetric integral-field unit. For every spaxel both the spectral and the polarimetric information is retrieved in one shot.⁴⁷

optical cross-correlation techniques, that would permit the use of e.g. a lower-resolution spectrograph or a much higher degree of spatial multiplexing. One example comprises interferometric heterodyning of quasi-periodic spectral signatures of molecules down to a lower spectral resolution.⁴⁴ An ultimate system would produce cross-correlation spectra instead of high-resolution spectra for every “spaxel” in the field of view, with functionality to program any cross-correlation template as desired.

3.3 Polarimetry

Like spectroscopy, polarimetry can deliver both contrast-enhancement and characterization functionality. Polarimetric modes at current ground-based high-contrast imagers are very scientifically productive for detecting, imaging, and characterizing protoplanetary disks in scattered light. For the ultimate goal of observing an Earth-like planet in reflected light, polarimetry will be crucial to discern residual unpolarized starlight from polarized light from the exoplanet. In fact, to detect the presence of O₂ on a life-bearing planet, one needs to distinguish its signal from that of our own O₂ (in the case of ground-based observations with Extremely Large Telescopes), and the two distinct properties are that an exoplanet’s O₂ is generally Doppler-shifted (spectral characteristic) and it is polarized. In fact, generally only the combination of spectroscopy and polarimetry in spectropolarimetry can provide unambiguous characterization of exoplanetary atmospheres. Moreover, the polarization angle of a detected source immediately confirms companionship.

Modern polarimetric techniques were recently reviewed in Refs.^{45,46}. In this discussion about high-contrast imaging systems, we highlight an elegant solution to implement a spectropolarimetric integral-field unit,⁴⁷ see Fig. 7. This implementation is enabled by a “polarization grating”,⁴⁸ a liquid-crystal element that furnishes very efficient diffraction in orders ± 1 over, e.g., the entire visible wavelength range, whilst splitting opposite circular polarization states into the two diffraction orders. By adding an achromatic quarter-wave plate and a polarization modulator (e.g. rotating half-wave plate or switching liquid crystal), this unit measures linear polarization as a function of wavelength for every pixel in the field of view, as sampled by the microlens array. As both polarization states are sampled in the same way, there are no differential aberrations to degrade the polarimetric performance.

Note that polarization optics are also required to mitigate the effects of polarization aberrations,⁴⁹ that broaden and distort the PSF, especially for extremely high-contrast observations in space.




Figure 8. Two examples of a “minimal instrument design” for a space telescope. *Top:* An adaptive powered primary mirror focuses the light onto a focal-plane mask on top of an MKID imaging detector. The mask acts both as the coronagraph as well as a Zernike wavefront sensor, which light is analyzed by a second MKID. The MKIDs offer no-noise photon-counting spectrally resolved imaging. [J. K. Wallace] *Bottom:* Concept sketch for a ~ 30 -cm space telescope to image the habitable zones of α Cen A and B. The primary is adaptive and flat, and is covered by patterned liquid crystals that focus the light, impose coronagraphic dark holes for both stars regardless of roll angle, implement focal-plane wavefront sensing spots, and enable spectroscopy. [F. Snik]

3.4 “Minimal Systems”

It is an interesting, perhaps somewhat academic exercise, to design high-contrast imaging systems with a minimal amount of components, which therefore have to combine several functionalities. One goal is to minimize the overall system complexity, and optimize the performance for one scientific target (high-contrast observations of exoplanets or one particular subset of exoplanets), and that scientific target only. For space-based systems, a highly compact design will greatly reduce the launch cost, and therefore the viability of the mission. For instance, to perform direct imaging of the habitable zones of our neighboring stars α Cen A and B, one only needs a space telescope with a ~ 30 -cm aperture, operating in visible light.⁵⁰

Fig. 8 introduces two concepts for space telescopes which both consist of a single (primary, deformable) mirror and an imaging detector. In the first case, the coronagraphy, wavefront sensing, and spectroscopy is integrated on top of the imaging detector, an MKID. A second MKID analyzes the light reflected by the focal-plane Zernike mask, and provides a second layer of wavefront sensing. In the second case, the deformable primary is flat, and covered by patterned liquid crystals that perform several tasks at once: focusing the light (for one circular polarization), imposing dark holes for both binary stars regardless of roll angle, and adding focal-plane wavefront sensing spots based on which the deformable primary can be controlled. As this is a diffractive telescope, the instantaneous bandwidth is necessarily very narrow, but space accommodates long exposure times. Furthermore, as the diffraction angle varies with wavelength, several images in different wavelengths can be accumulated in parallel on one or several detectors equipped with, e.g., a stepped filter and microlenses to reformat the beam.

One major benefit of such systems is that the telescope pupil, the adaptive optics, and the coronagraph coincide, which removes the need for optical relays and two-DM systems for phase+amplitude correction. Also, for the second system pointing is not critical. However, in the end it is always a trade-off between system complexity and technology immaturity.

3.5 Huge Space Telescopes

In the end, it is clear that we need extremely large telescopes in space to be able to take images and spectra of many habitable-zone planets orbiting nearby stars. A large swath of wild ideas have been put forward to build such telescopes in the not-so-distant future, ranging from self-assembling megastructures to metal-painted space balloons, from large grating apertures⁵¹ to “orbiting rainbows” of diffracting particles,⁵² and even using the sun as a gravitational lens.⁵³ We indulged ourselves in similar thought experiments, and focused on two relatively viable concepts: a large spinning one-dimensional aperture (“windmill telescope”), and foldable large diffractive apertures.




Figure 9. A windmill telescope with a vector-APP-like phase pattern on top to create a dark hole in the direction of highest spatial resolution. [E. Por]

Such “windmill” apertures have already been discussed in the literature.^{54,55} Their main advantage is that they offer the largest-possible aperture in one direction, given the constraints of a launch vehicle. By rotating the aperture, a synthetic PSF can be built up. To create a dark hole, Fig. 9 introduces an additional vector-APP-like

phase pattern on top of the aperture, which could be mosaicked together from individual patterned liquid-crystal patches, or by deforming the mirror in this particular fashion.

An even larger aperture telescope can be fit in a launch vehicle if it can be folded. One promising technique is derived from Fresnel zone plates,⁵⁶ which turns the annular patterns into hole patterns that can be manufactured in polymer foils.⁵⁷ Such “photon sieve” designs can be augmented much in the same way as shaped pupils to modify the emerging PSF to exhibit dark holes. As this is a diffractive telescope, its use is classically restricted to narrow-band use, but combinations with regular phase elements can extend the bandwidth significantly.⁵⁸ We already know from starshade prototyping that very large space foils can be folded up into quite a small package.⁵⁹ It is intriguing to hypothesize how the different polymer technologies discussed in this review can be combined to form a multifunctional foldable large-aperture space telescope: the photon sieve holes for diffractive imaging and shaped-pupil-like coronagraphy, patterned liquid crystals for extending the spectral band and implementing vector-APP-like coronagraphy, and the photonic DM materials for active shape control using a polarization-modulated laser from the (separate?) spacecraft body.

3.6 Integrated Photonics Solutions

In many areas of astronomical instrumentation the functionalities of classical optical components are being taken over by miniaturized photonic components, and in the next few years this will also be the case for high-contrast imaging. The largest success stories of “astrophotonics” pertain to interferometry, both for multi-telescope beam combination, as well as for Sparse Aperture Masking implementations with several fibers spread in a non-redundant fashion over the pupil, which are consequently pair-wise combined and spectrally dispersed.^{60,61}




Figure 10. Conceptual sketch for an ultimate photonic instrument for high-contrast imaging. [*N. Jovanovic, B. Norris, N. Cvetojevic*]

Fig. 10 sketches the design of an ‘ultimate’ photonic instrument for high-contrast imaging, which includes nulling interferometry and spectroscopy in a combination of photonic building blocks. First a 3D waveguide produced by ultrafast laser inscription in a block of non-linear glass⁶² reformats the pupil into single-mode fiber conduits. Injection is enabled by a 3D-printed microlens array on top of the glass. The pupil subapertures are then pairwise interferometrically combined and nulled in half the channels. The null can be achieved by modulating a piezoelectric material like LiNbO_3 ⁶³ taking on the role of a deformable mirror, or by implementing patterned liquid crystals as achromatic nullers or active modulators. All individual channels can then be spectroscopically analysed by on-chip arrayed waveguide spectrometers,⁶⁴ feeding a detector like an MKIDs.

For non-interferometric systems, a perfect coronagraph operator can also be represented by photonic combiner blocks.⁶⁵ Moreover, for single-mode fiber injection at the focal plane (see Sect. 3.2) can be expanded with fibers that carry light with information about the low-order wavefront.⁶⁶ With these building blocks, one day, most if not all elements of a high-contrast imaging system can be built in ultracompact integrated photonic device.

4. CONCLUSIONS

- Instrumentation for high-contrast imaging is profiting tremendously from technology invented for completely different purposes: telecommunication, liquid-crystal displays, lithography, projectors, 3D-printing, etc.
- However, astronomers need to adopt and appropriate these technologies to develop them in ways to deliver the optimal performances for high-contrast imaging.
- In the end, it is only the complete end-to-end system performance that matters.
- It is essential to try out new technologies at a very early stage on-sky, e.g. at SCEXAO.⁶⁷

We aim to expand this review and submit it as part of a series of publications to JATIS. We will then also update all the references to 2018 SPIE papers.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge the Lorentz Center for hosting and to a large extend funding the Optimal Optical Coronagraph workshop in Leiden 2017. Additional funding for the workshop was provided by the European Research Council under ERC Starting Grant agreement 678194 (FALCONER) granted to Frans Snik. This formed the platform where this work was carried out.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ruane, G. et al. *Proc. SPIE* **10698**, 10698–98 (2018).
- [2] Jovanovic, N. et al. *Proc. SPIE* **10703**, 10703–67 (2018).
- [3] Mawet, D., Pueyo, L., Lawson, P., Mugnier, L., Traub, W., Boccaletti, A., Trauger, J. T., Gladysz, S., Serabyn, E., Milli, J., Belikov, R., Kasper, M., Baudoz, P., Macintosh, B., Marois, C., Oppenheimer, B., Barrett, H., Beuzit, J.-L., Devaney, N., Girard, J., Guyon, O., Krist, J., Mennesson, B., Mouillet, D., Murakami, N., Poyneer, L., Savransky, D., Véraud, C., and Wallace, J. K., “Review of small-angle coronagraphic techniques in the wake of ground-based second-generation adaptive optics systems,” in [*Space Telescopes and Instrumentation 2012: Optical, Infrared, and Millimeter Wave*], *Proc. SPIE* **8442**, 844204 (Sept. 2012).
- [4] Kasper, M., “Adaptive optics for high contrast imaging,” in [*Adaptive Optics Systems III*], *Proc. SPIE* **8447**, 84470B (July 2012).
- [5] Milli, J., Mawet, D., Mouillet, D., Kasper, M., and Girard, J. H., “Adaptive Optics in High-Contrast Imaging,” in [*Astronomy at High Angular Resolution*], Boffin, H. M. J., Hussain, G., Berger, J.-P., and Schmidtobreick, L., eds., *Astrophysics and Space Science Library* **439**, 17 (2016).
- [6] Guyon, O., Martinache, F., Cady, E. J., Belikov, R., Balasubramanian, K., Wilson, D., Clergeon, C. S., and Mateen, M., “How ELTs will acquire the first spectra of rocky habitable planets,” in [*Adaptive Optics Systems III*], *Proc. SPIE* **8447**, 84471X (July 2012).
- [7] Mouillet, D. et al. *Proc. SPIE* **10703**, 10703–63 (2018).
- [8] Macintosh, B. et al. *Proc. SPIE* **10703**, 10703–207 (2018).
- [9] Lemmer, A. J., Groff, T. D., Kasdin, N. J., Echeverri, D., and Cleff, I. R., “Technological progress of a ferrofluid deformable mirror with tunable nominal optical power for high-contrast imaging,” in [*Techniques and Instrumentation for Detection of Exoplanets VII*], *Proc. SPIE* **9605**, 960525 (Sept. 2015).
- [10] Riaud, P., “New high-density deformable mirrors for high-contrast imaging,” *A&A* **545**, A25 (Sept. 2012).
- [11] Bendek, E. et al. *Proc. SPIE* **10698**, 10698–57 (2018).
- [12] Baudoz, P. et al. *Proc. SPIE* **10706**, 10706–94 (2018).
- [13] Guyon, O., Pluzhnik, E. A., Kuchner, M. J., Collins, B., and Ridgway, S. T., “Theoretical Limits on Extrasolar Terrestrial Planet Detection with Coronagraphs,” *ApJS* **167**, 81–99 (Nov. 2006).
- [14] Quirrenbach, A., “Coronagraphic Methods for the Detection of Terrestrial Planets,” *ArXiv Astrophysics e-prints* (Feb. 2005).
- [15] Trauger, J., Moody, D., Krist, J., and Gordon, B., “Hybrid Lyot coronagraph for WFIRST-AFTA: coronagraph design and performance metrics,” *Journal of Astronomical Telescopes, Instruments, and Systems* **2**, 011013 (Jan. 2016).
- [16] Knight, J. et al. *Proc. SPIE* **10706**, 10706–96 (2018).
- [17] Vargas Catalán, E., Huby, E., Forsberg, P., Jolivet, A., Baudoz, P., Carlomagno, B., Delacroix, C., Habraken, S., Mawet, D., Surdej, J., Absil, O., and Karlsson, M., “Optimizing the subwavelength grating of L-band annular groove phase masks for high coronagraphic performance,” *A&A* **595**, A127 (Nov. 2016).
- [18] Mawet, D., Serabyn, E., Liewer, K., Hanot, C., McEldowney, S., Shemo, D., and O’Brien, N., “Optical vectorial vortex coronagraphs using liquid crystal polymers: theory, manufacturing and laboratory demonstration,” *Opt. Express* **17**, 1902–1918 (Feb 2009).
- [19] Escuti, M. J., Kim, J., and Kudenov, M. W., “Controlling light with geometric-phase holograms,” *Opt. Photon. News* **27**, 22–29 (Feb 2016).
- [20] Miskiewicz, M. N. and Escuti, M. J., “Direct-writing of complex liquid crystal patterns,” *Opt. Express* **22**, 12691–12706 (May 2014).
- [21] Komanduri, R. K., Lawler, K. F., and Escuti, M. J., “Multi-twist retarders: broadband retardation control using self-aligning reactive liquid crystal layers,” *Opt. Express* **21**, 404–420 (Jan 2013).
- [22] Snik, F., Otten, G., Kenworthy, M., Miskiewicz, M., Escuti, M., Packham, C., and Codona, J., “The vector-APP: a broadband apodizing phase plate that yields complementary PSFs,” in [*Modern Technologies in Space- and Ground-based Telescopes and Instrumentation II*], *Proc. SPIE* **8450**, 84500M (Sept. 2012).

- [23] Wilby, M. J., Keller, C. U., Snik, F., Korkiakoski, V., and Pietrow, A. G. M., “The coronagraphic Modal Wavefront Sensor: a hybrid focal-plane sensor for the high-contrast imaging of circumstellar environments,” *A&A* **597**, A112 (Jan. 2017).
- [24] Otten, G. P. P. L., Snik, F., Kenworthy, M. A., Miskiewicz, M. N., and Escuti, M. J., “Performance characterization of a broadband vector Apodizing Phase Plate coronagraph,” *Optics Express* **22**, 30287 (Dec. 2014).
- [25] Doelman, D. S., Snik, F., Warriner, N. Z., and Escuti, M. J., “Patterned liquid-crystal optics for broadband coronagraphy and wavefront sensing,” in [*Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*], *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series* **10400**, 104000U (Sept. 2017).
- [26] Otten, G. P. P. L., Snik, F., Kenworthy, M. A., Keller, C. U., Males, J. R., Morzinski, K. M., Close, L. M., Codona, J. L., Hinz, P. M., Hornburg, K. J., Brickson, L. L., and Escuti, M. J., “On-sky Performance Analysis of the Vector Apodizing Phase Plate Coronagraph on MagAO/Clio2,” *ApJ* **834**, 175 (Jan. 2017).
- [27] Sean B. Goebel, Donald N. B. Hall, O. G. E. W. S. M. J., “Overview of the saphira detector for adaptive optics applications,” *Journal of Astronomical Telescopes, Instruments, and Systems* **4**, 4 – 4 – 10 (2018).
- [28] Walter, A. et al. *Proc. SPIE* **10702**, 10702–31 (2018).
- [29] Mazoyer, J., Pueyo, L., N’Diaye, M., Fogarty, K., Zimmerman, N., Soummer, R., Shaklan, S., and Norman, C., “Active Correction of Aperture Discontinuities-Optimized Stroke Minimization. II. Optimization for Future Missions,” *AJ* **155**, 8 (Jan. 2018).
- [30] Kühn, J. et al. *Proc. SPIE* **10706**, 10706–93 (2018).
- [31] Carlotti, A. et al. *Proc. SPIE* **10706**, 10706–92 (2018).
- [32] Sparks, W. B. and Ford, H. C., “Imaging Spectroscopy for Extrasolar Planet Detection,” *ApJ* **578**, 543–564 (Oct. 2002).
- [33] Konopacky, Q. M., Barman, T. S., Macintosh, B. A., and Marois, C., “Detection of Carbon Monoxide and Water Absorption Lines in an Exoplanet Atmosphere,” *Science* **339**, 1398–1401 (Mar. 2013).
- [34] Snellen, I., de Kok, R., Birkby, J. L., Brandl, B., Brogi, M., Keller, C., Kenworthy, M., Schwarz, H., and Stuik, R., “Combining high-dispersion spectroscopy with high contrast imaging: Probing rocky planets around our nearest neighbors,” *A&A* **576**, A59 (Apr. 2015).
- [35] Jovanovic, N., Schwab, C., Guyon, O., Lozi, J., Cvetojevic, N., Martinache, F., Leon-Saval, S., Norris, B., Gross, S., Doughty, D., Currie, T., and Takato, N., “Efficient injection from large telescopes into single-mode fibres: Enabling the era of ultra-precision astronomy,” *A&A* **604**, A122 (Aug. 2017).
- [36] Mawet, D., Ruane, G., Xuan, W., Echeverri, D., Klimovich, N., Randolph, M., Fucik, J., Wallace, J. K., Wang, J., Vasisht, G., Dekany, R., Mennesson, B., Choquet, E., Delorme, J.-R., and Serabyn, E., “Observing Exoplanets with High-dispersion Coronagraphy. II. Demonstration of an Active Single-mode Fiber Injection Unit,” *ApJ* **838**, 92 (Apr. 2017).
- [37] Por, E. H. and Haffert, S. Y., “The Single-mode Complex Amplitude Refinement (SCAR) coronagraph: I. Concept, theory and design,” *ArXiv e-prints* (Mar. 2018).
- [38] Haffert, S. Y., Por, E. H., Keller, C. U., Kenworthy, M. A., Doelman, D. S., Snik, F., and Escuti, M. J., “The Single-mode Complex Amplitude Refinement (SCAR) coronagraph: II. Lab verification, and toward the characterization of Proxima b,” *ArXiv e-prints* (Mar. 2018).
- [39] Haffert, S. et al. (in prep.).
- [40] Por, E. et al. (in prep.).
- [41] Chandrasekharan, H. K., Izdebski, F., Gris-Sánchez, I., Krstajić, N., Walker, R., Bridle, H. L., Dalgarno, P. A., MacPherson, W. N., Henderson, R. K., Birks, T. A., and Thomson, R. R., “Multiplexed single-mode wavelength-to-time mapping of multimode light,” *Nature Communications* **8**, 14080 (Jan. 2017).
- [42] Dietrich, P.-I., Blaicher, M., Reuter, I., Billah, M., Hoose, T., Hofmann, A., Caer, C., Dangel, R., Offrein, B., Troppenz, U., Moehrl, M., Freude, W., and Koos, C., “In situ 3D nanoprinting of free-form coupling elements for hybrid photonic integration,” *Nature Photonics* **12**, 241–247 (Apr. 2018).
- [43] Haffert, S. et al. *Proc. SPIE* **10703** (2018).
- [44] Erskine, D. et al. *Proc. SPIE* **10702**, 10702–161 (2018).
- [45] Snik, F. and Keller, C. U., [*Astronomical Polarimetry: Polarized Views of Stars and Planets*], 175 (2013).
- [46] Snik, F., Craven-Jones, J., Escuti, M., Fineschi, S., Harrington, D., De Martino, A., Mawet, D., Riedi, J., and Tyo, J. S., “An overview of polarimetric sensing techniques and technology with applications to different research fields,” in [*Polarization: Measurement, Analysis, and Remote Sensing XI*], *Proc. SPIE* **9099**, 90990B (May 2014).
- [47] Rodenhuis, M., Snik, F., van Harten, G., Hoeijmakers, J., and Keller, C. U., “Five-dimensional optical instrumentation: combining polarimetry with time-resolved integral-field spectroscopy,” in [*Polarization: Measurement, Analysis, and Remote Sensing XI*], *Proc. SPIE* **9099**, 90990L (May 2014).
- [48] Packham, C., Escuti, M., Ginn, J., Oh, C., Quijano, I., and Boreman, G., “Polarization Gratings: A Novel Polarimetric Component for Astronomical Instruments,” *PASP* **122**, 1471 (Dec. 2010).
- [49] Breckinridge, J. B., Lam, W. S. T., and Chipman, R. A., “Polarization Aberrations in Astronomical Telescopes: The Point Spread Function,” *PASP* **127**, 445 (May 2015).
- [50] Belikov, R., Bendek, E., Thomas, S., Males, J., and Lozi, J., “How to directly image a habitable planet around Alpha Centauri with a ~30-45cm space telescope,” in [*Techniques and Instrumentation for Detection of Exoplanets VII*], *Proc. SPIE* **9605**, 960517 (Sept. 2015).
- [51] Ditto, T. D., “ADDEDPT: apparatus for direct detection of exoplanets by diffractive pupil telescope,” in [*Space Telescopes and Instrumentation 2014: Optical, Infrared, and Millimeter Wave*], *Proc. SPIE* **9143**, 914339 (Aug. 2014).
- [52] Basinger, S. A., Palacios, D., Quadrelli, M. B., and Swartzlander, G. A., “Optics of a granular imaging system (i.e. “orbiting rainbows”),” in [*Optics for EUV, X-Ray, and Gamma-Ray Astronomy VII*], *Proc. SPIE* **9602**, 96020E (Sept. 2015).
- [53] Turyshev, S. G., Shao, M., Shen, J., Zhou, H., Toth, V. T., Friedman, L., Alkalai, L., Arora, N., Garber, D. D., Helvajian, H., Heinsheimer, T., Janson, S. W., Johnson, L., Males, J. R., Nakagawa, R., Redfield, S., Strange, N., Swain, M. R., Van Buren, D., West, J. L., and Weinstein-Weiss, S., “Recognizing the Value of the Solar Gravitational Lens for Direct Multipixel Imaging and Spectroscopy of an Exoplanet,” *ArXiv e-prints* (Mar. 2018).
- [54] Rafanelli, G. L., Cosner, C. M., Spencer, S. B., Wolfe, D., Newman, A., Polidan, R., and Chakrabarti, S., “Revolutionary astrophysics using an incoherent synthetic optical aperture,” in [*Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*], *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series* **10398**, 103980P (Sept. 2017).
- [55] Nir, G. et al. *Proc. SPIE* **10701**, 10701–76 (2018).

- [56] Wilhem, R. and Laurent, K., “Improvements on Fresnel arrays for high contrast imaging,” *Experimental Astronomy* **45**, 21–40 (Mar. 2018).
- [57] Andersen, G., Asmolova, O., McHarg, M. G., Quiller, T., and Maldonado, C., “FalconSAT-7: a membrane space solar telescope,” in [*Space Telescopes and Instrumentation 2016: Optical, Infrared, and Millimeter Wave*], *Proc. SPIE* **9904**, 99041P (July 2016).
- [58] Zhao, X., Hu, J., Lin, Y., Xu, F., Zhu, X., Pu, D., Chen, L., and Wang, C., “Ultra-broadband achromatic imaging with diffractive photon sieves,” *Scientific Reports* **6**, 28319 (June 2016).
- [59] Arya, M., Webb, D., McGown, J., Lisman, P. D., Shaklan, S., Bradford, S. C., Steeves, J., Hilgemann, E., Trease, B., Thomson, M., Warwick, S., Freebury, G., and Gull, J., “Starshade mechanical design for the Habitable Exoplanet imaging mission concept (HabEx),” in [*Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*], *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series* **10400**, 104001C (Sept. 2017).
- [60] Norris, B. et al. *Proc. SPIE* **10701**, 10701–45 (2018).
- [61] Huby, E., Perrin, G., Marchis, F., Lacour, S., Kotani, T., Duchêne, G., Choquet, E., Gates, E. L., Woillez, J. M., Lai, O., Fédou, P., Collin, C., Chapron, F., Arslanian, V., and Burns, K. J., “FIRST, a fibered aperture masking instrument. I. First on-sky test results,” *A&A* **541**, A55 (May 2012).
- [62] Norris, B., Cvetojevic, N., Gross, S., Jovanovic, N., Stewart, P. N., Charles, N., Lawrence, J. S., Withford, M. J., and Tuthill, P., “High-performance 3D waveguide architecture for astronomical pupil-remapping interferometry,” *Optics Express* **22**, 18335 (July 2014).
- [63] Labadie, L., Berger, J.-P., Cvetojevic, N., Haynes, R., Harris, R., Jovanovic, N., Lacour, S., Martin, G., Minardi, S., Perrin, G., Roth, M., and Thomson, R. R., “Astronomical photonics in the context of infrared interferometry and high-resolution spectroscopy,” in [*Optical and Infrared Interferometry and Imaging V*], *Proc. SPIE* **9907**, 990718 (Aug. 2016).
- [64] Jovanovic, N., Cvetojevic, N., Norris, B., Betters, C., Schwab, C., Lozi, J., Guyon, O., Gross, S., Martinache, F., Tuthill, P., Doughty, D., Minowa, Y., Takato, N., and Lawrence, J., “Demonstration of an efficient, photonic-based astronomical spectrograph on an 8-m telescope,” *Optics Express* **25**, 17753 (July 2017).
- [65] Jewell, J. et al. *Proc. SPIE* **10698**, 10698–53 (2018).
- [66] Hottinger, P. et al. *Proc. SPIE* **10706**, 10706–77 (2018).
- [67] Jovanovic, N., Guyon, O., Lozi, J., Currie, T., Hagelberg, J., Norris, B., Singh, G., Pathak, P., Doughty, D., Goebel, S., Males, J., Kuhn, J., Serabyn, E., Tuthill, P., Schworer, G., Martinache, F., Kudo, T., Kawahara, H., Kotani, T., Ireland, M., Feger, T., Rains, A., Bento, J., Schwab, C., Coutts, D., Cvetojevic, N., Gross, S., Arriola, A., Lagadec, T., Kasdin, J., Groff, T., Mazin, B., Minowa, Y., Takato, N., Tamura, M., Takami, H., and Hayashi, M., “The SCEXAO high contrast imager: transitioning from commissioning to science,” in [*Adaptive Optics Systems V*], *Proc. SPIE* **9909**, 99090W (July 2016).